DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 109 773

EA 007 361

AUTHOR Ladd, Edward T.; Walden, John C. TITLE Students' Rights and Discipline.

INSTITUTION National Association of Elementary School Principals,

Washington, D.C.

PUB DATE 75 NOTE 74p.

AVAILABLE FROM National Association of Elementary School Principals,

1801 North Moore Street, Arlington, Virginia 22209

(Stock No. 181-05616, \$5.95)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.76 PLUS POSTAGE. HC Not Available from EDRS. Court Litigation; Court Role; *Discipline; Discipline Policy; Discipline Problems; Elementary Education;

*Governance; Guidelines; Principals; *Role

Perception; *School Law; *Student Rights; Teacher

Role

ABSTRACT

This book is meant to be practical. It attempts to clarify what one should keep in mind and to describe what courses of action are open when one confronts a particular situation. It is stated that teachers and principals encounter discipline problems not because they are deficient in skill or in virtue, but because they have inherited misleading definitions of their respective roles. What schools must do, may do, and may not do about disciplining is a matter of the governing of children. Individual chapters deal with the legal bases for student governance; fundamentals of governance--definitions, norms, and influence measures; the application of norms and compliance devices to different situations; the principal's leadership role in the governance program; governance tasks that trouble teachers and how principals can help; the ways to talk with students when there has been trouble; major offenses; and some considerations in building a governance program. The appendixes provide a list of students* rights, a suggested list of important elemental norms for public elementary schools, and a list of basic influence procedures. (Author/IRT)

^{*} supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original.



Documents acquired by FRIC include many informal unpublished

^{*} materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *

^{*} to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal

^{*} reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality

^{*} of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available * via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not

^{*} responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *

U S OEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EOUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EOUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE SENTOFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

PERMISSION TO REPRICE FITHS
OPER SHIED WATER AL BY MICRO
FICHE ONLY HAS BEEN SRANTED BY

TO EN CAND OR IN ZHT UNIT CEERAT
NO NOER ACREEMENTS & THITHE NA
SONAL NOTICE OF EDITOR ON
FIRTHER MEPRODITION OLISDE
THE ERICYSTEM MEGUAEL PERMIS
ON FINE TORYR OHT TAVER



FDWARD T LADD with JOHN C WALDEN: Copyright © 1975 National Association of Elementary School Principals All rights reserved

Stock number 181-05616 Library of Congress catalogue card number 75 15292

Single copies, \$5.95

Published by the National Association of Elementary School Principals 1801 North Moore Street, Arlington, Virginia 22209

Printed in the United States of America



CONTENTS



Foreword	5
Pretace	7
Legal Bases for Student Governance	9
Fundamentals of Governance Definitions, Norms, and Influence Measures	19
Applying Norms and Compliance Devices to Different Situations	31
The Principal's Leadership Role in the Governance Program	39
Governance Tasks That Trouble Teachers and How Principals Can Help	45
Talking with Students When There Has Been Trouble	59
Dealing with Major Oftenses	65
Toward a Governance Program	69
Appendix A. A List of Students' Rights	73
Appendix B. A Suggested List of Important Elemental Norms for a Public Elementary School	75
Appendix C. A List of Basic Influence Procedures	77
About the Authors	80



5

Foreword



This book has been long—tragically long—in the making. The need for a structured yet humane approach to student governance was apparent as early as 1971, when the editorial department of the National Association of Elementary School Principals first approached Edward Ladd with the request that he write such a book. The manuscript was undergoing final revision when Dr. Ladd was killed in an accident in 1973.

Dr. Ladd's notes were turned over to John Walden, who completed the task of revision and brought the manuscript up to date with respect to recent trends in school law.

finally, we would like to say that without the devoted guidance and help of Maria Ladd, Dr. Ladd's widow, publication of this book would not have been possible

The Editors
National Association of
Elementary School Principals



Preface



This book is meant to be practical. If it's not that, it's not a success. Student discipline is a pressing and serious problem for which school people urgently need all the help they can get.

Teachers are sp greatly concerned about discipline that to dismiss the problem glibly or to moralize about trying harder just won't do. Furthermore, the fact that a very large part of the general public is extremely disturbed about discipline in the schools places school people under the heaviest pressure to develop better solutions to the problem. These concerns have at least a partial basis in fact. There is a significant amount of genuinely disruptive and dangerous behavior even in elementary school.

Superficially, the dangers in elementary schools are less serious than those to be expected in secondary schools. But there is it anything a greater reason for dealing with

them in the most professional way possible. It is in elementary schools that the young have their earliest extended experiences with organized society and formal rules and rights. It is there that they are most likely to develop lasting habits of mind and of behavior. It is important that when youngsters go on to secondary school, they be more than halfway prepared to function as the adult citizens they will soon afterward become

Principals and teachers in public elementary man middle schools need answers to many different questions about discipline. Some can be found in a book, some cannot. No book can answer the question, 'What should I do in this situation?' In order to help principals and teachers answer that question themselves this book attempts to clarify what one should keep in mind and to describe what courses of action are open when one confronts a particular situation. It is in this sense that the book





is intended to be practical

Principals and teachers encounter discipline problems not because they are deticient in skill or in virtue, but because they have inherited from an outdated tradition misleading definitions of their respective roles. The stress in this book, therefore, is on roles the principal and teacher may effectively play. I have tried to keep out more personal biases and opinions, so as to make what is offered as authoritative, scientific, and logical as possible. The insights into disciplinary tasks and ways of dealing with them that you find in this book sprang largely from my invaluable contacts with children and with practicing teachers and principals, to whom I owe a great debt

As the reader will discover, the book takes its cue from the fact that our public schools are ultimately governmental institutions, established to achieve certain public purposes, given certain powers with which to act, and, like other governmental institutions in a free society under law, limited in certain ways as to what they may properly do. What schools must do, may do, and may not do about discipline is a matter of the governing of children. So the most helpful overall frame of reference for looking at the problem of discipline comes from the fields of political science and law it is such a frame of reference that this book uses.

The academic aspects of the analysis are in

part well authenticated by research and in part based on extrapolations from research. Some of the analysis needs, no doubt, to be refined or corrected. Many of the assertions should be hedged with such statements as "it appears," or "the evidence suggests," or "it seems reasonable to conclude," but to keep the book from being cumbersome, such phrases have been omitted. For information supporting any given statement, the reader is invited to examine the growing scholarly literature in the field and various of my own writings on discipline and students' rights.

Because the book is based on considerations that go beyond the day-to-day operation of a school to the broader question of the schools' fundamental purpose and place in our society, it might be thought of as being, in its own area, both a cookbook and a summary of the fundamentals of nutrition.

I hope, indeed, that the book will be useful in the following ways

As helpful reading for principals themselves. As something to pass on to teachers who are concerned about discipline or responsible for it.

As a source of materials that may even be given to students who share in the governance of their schools

As a basis for analysis and discussion at faculty incetings, inservice meetings, workshops, and college courses

Edward T Tadd



Legal Bases for Student Governance



Public school principals and teachers have legal responsibility for regulating the behavior of the students in their charge, and they have the legal authority to accomplish it. Both this responsibility and this authority exist by virtue of the constitutions and laws of the respective states and of the tederal government. The question of how school officials should regulate student conduct is, therefore, partly a legal question.

The American legal system is a complex arrangement of agreements defining various rights of various people. The public school, which is part of the apparatus of government, has its own internal quasi-legal system. The school's provisions for the governing of students can then be seen as provisions for sateguarding and implementing the rights of various people that may be affected by students' behavior or by what is done to regulate it.

In the behavior of students in a public ele-

mentary school, many rights of many people may be at stake. The whole citizenry has the right to have everyone in a school act in accord with the law. The people of the state under which the school has been established have the right to have school officials keep the prescribed educational program accessible to all the children of the community, and, what amounts to the same thing, the children have the right of access to an education. Everyone in the school and everyone attected by its program, including people who simply walk past the building, have the right not to be injured or endangered by students under the school's jurisdiction. Everyone in the school has certain constitutional rights, which other people, particularly those in legal authority, are required to respect. Finally, those who "own" the school building and its program, the general public, have a right to have them not put out of commission or damaged



9

It would seem to be part of the job of public school administrators and teachers, the legal managers of the schools, to see to it that in their schools all of these kinds of rights are upheld as best they are he. But in this lessthan perfect world, the interests with which these rights are concerned sometimes conflict, and where one right ends and another begins is often unclear. Therefore, school people must determine in each situation the extent and the limits of each right. When there appears to be a conflict of interests it is ultimately for the school officials to see to it that the proper balance is struck. The authority of administrators and teachers to discharge these obligations is of three kinds. It authority to set policies and make rules - a sort of legislative authority, 2) authority to formulate and implement regulations, to issue orders, and generally to bring about compliance with these policies regulations and orders, an executive authority and 3 authority to deal with viola tions that have occurred and with other overt contlicts a sort of judicial authority school personnel have authority of these various kinds doesn't mean of course that they have to exercise it themselves, to a considerable degree they are tree to delegate it to one another and to students. It does mean though, that they are ultimately responsible for the halanems of interests and upholding of rights and that when they delegate their authority, they remain accountable to: the professional character of that delegation

What kinds of interests do various groups of people have in the discipline program of a public elementary school and what kind of balance should be established between them? What rights, in other words, do different people have in such a program and what are their respective limits?

Basically, the answers to these questions are to be found in the law. Many of the rights that concern the governance of students are stated or implied in state laws and local regulations. They tend to differ to some degree from one school district to another. Other rights are derived from tederal law, even they, however, differ from place to place in these days when federal courts are in the process of defining and redefining the limits of various rights, particularly students' rights, in school settings. Sometimes courts have not agreed with each other on the same issue. What is said here isn't, therefore, a substitute for good legal counsel appropriate for the particular jurisdiction. Nor can it change the fact that, when the chips are down, anyone's legal rights are simply what a particular court says they are - that's how the pragmatic legal system of the United States works. Nevertheless, a number of fairly reliable generalizations can be made

Since the very purpose of a public school is to educate, within if the public's right to have education made accessible to students and kept accessible to them, and the individual student's right of access to an education must be defined very broadly. This is the position taken in the Report of the 1970 White House Conference on Children.

The child's basic right in school is access to a satisfactory education. Whatever a child's color sex race class marital status physical condition or behavior he is and should be entitled to publicly supported educational services.¹

Upholding this right within a school's program to regulating student conduct means at least three things.

First at means that when some students are

disposed to behave in ways that would intertere with other students' access to education or with their efforts to learn, something must be done to see that they don't behave that way. Most of the governance activities in elementary schools today are aimed at protecting this right to an education by getting kids not to be noisy, disorderly, or disruptive

Second, it means that disciplinary policies and practices themselves should allow education to go forward and not impede or injure it That is, policies and practices should be followed whose effects on students' opportunities to learn and grow are at least neutral. This is a demanding requirement. The education to which a public school student is entitled includes not only instruction in the three Rs, but also education for adult citizenship in a complex society. From the time the student enrolls in school to the time he reaches the age of eighteen, he must have a chance to develop a special collection of notions and teelings, skills and habits, concerning treedom, law and order, and how to be a citizen in a democratically self-governing society

Just after birth only a tiny amount of the power over a child's lite is in his own hands the vast majority of it is in the hands of the adults who have charge of him. When he reaches adulthood in a democratic society a large amount of the power over his life will be in his own hands, and the rest of it, also a large amount will be in 'the hands of a government in which he is ultimately an equal partner.

It is often said that part of education for citizenship is feaching children to learn to accept limits. In one sense such feaching is unnecessary whenever limits are real, a child cannot help learning to accept them as for instance, he cannot help learning to accept

the limits imposed by the law of gravity. What the aphorism is intended to convey, presumably is that children must learn reasons for setting limits to their own behavior themselves and develop skill in doing this. This is true enough. These are things that children do not learn by watching adults who have power over their lives set limits for them, manipulate them, or control the consequences of what they do. To learn these thing, children must act for themselves in practical settings, they must experience the real, natural consequences of various forms of self-indulgence and self-direction, apart from artificial intervention from the outside, and they must weigh the advantages and disadvantages of various kinds of courses of action. They learn how to be citizens in a democracy only by acting in part as tree individuals and in part as citizens working with other citizens through democratic governance structures

The prerequisites of education for citizen ship include giving the growing student increasing treedom and an increasing share in group decision making for the governance of his own life and the lives of the rest of his group. He will be able to use adult treedom intelligently only it he has learned selfdiscipline, that is has learned to set limits to his behavior, or to put it yet another way to voluntarily torego exercising part of the freedom allowed him. But the only way he can learn to do this is to experiment both with exercising certain freedoms fully and with giving some of them up voluntarily and to find that often the latter is in the long run more rewarding. The first prerequisite for such learning then is that he be allowed those treedoms and allowed to experience the consequences of using them either way. Similarly, only through successful firsthand ex-, 1



perience in democratic self-government can the student learn how to participate intelligently in that process which also involves among other things, giving up some freedom

If the goal is to be reached, the rate of increase has to be steady and steep. Calperally speaking, the process should go torward regardless of whether the student his fully mastered his earlier lessons, for it has to be completed as far as possible by the time he reaches eighteen and becomes a citizen ready or not. Any disciplinary measures that present children from becoming freer year by year or from sharing more and more in group self-governance interfere with the process and thus have adverse educational side citects.

It the family preschool, elementary school, and secondary school fulfill their respective educational tasks, the right co-education for edizenship will be ensured. When any one of them talls short however as is trequently the case that right is intringed. It the failure is apparent before the child has completed elementary school, the elementary school has an extra remedici job to do. It must start with him where he is and together with the high school it must it humanly possible take him to the defined goal readiness for adult citi zenship. This will require a cash program The school go emance program its disci phrary policies and practices, should be such as not to interfere with the erich remedial program

The third arguest of for disaptive is retained to the special bat gots further. It is the presimed right of school are chadren not to have the reduced on interrupted for any long period of time let a one brought to an end a right that obvious has an important bearing on the subject of suspersions. This presumed field will be discussed below.

It is clear that in designing school disciplinary practices, the interest of the public, the student himself and his parents in his receiving an education should be given a high priority in relation to other interests. It is certainly more important than protecting the school building from wear and tear and perhaps even more important than protecting students and school officials from risk of minor injury.

The student's right to an education should not have top priority however. Sometimes a risk is serious, and there is an imminent danger of real injury to a person or a valuable piece of property. Even it learning has to wait people have a right to be protected and to have their valuable possessions, including the school protected from danger. For elementary school children indeed the right to personal protection would seem to be particularly important since they may be less able to detend and care for themselves than are for a stance school personnel and in any case, they are in school under compulsion rather than by choice. Assuming that serious dangers can be dealt with rather quickly to subordinate students interest in an education to their interest in being protected from real dangers doesn't violate their right to an education for obtaining an education i-nit a matter of new or never but can be accomplished Letter

What choo officials do to protect people and propert, and other regulator, activities they enjoyed in that don't make directly to learning constitute a function that schools share is the other or arrivations that care for the well being of people temporaries in their keeping. A rading student et an iel law has valid the the schools had transform. What has been said to tar suggest that aspects of the host function that deal with schools dan

gers should take precedence over a student education while less important a pect, should be subordinated to it.

Many rights of individuals are received as explicitly guaranteed to elegance he the red eral Constitution. Since 1942 it his hear the law as interpreted by the U.S. Supreme Court that schoolicheldren strain in this english.

The Feyther to X + ancest = ance applied to the State operator to the state of assist the State of a little protection of a source of the State of a little protection of the angle of Education on the education of the educatio

In recent years the rederal so of the enembusily interpret by the practice meaning of this principle as organds one or process practices often designed a sorre or a thermal but in large measure expense.

Serking to the an ast money as a firm of the students a public expendence of the control titled on the protected on the best property the school's party many property of the control of ulations including had there and dean the school officials three at a appropriate a adopted by student, to whom that I we have their deligated two same and the commences to private, and to be the first of the account by the U.S. Supreme control productions no good tea is ter the service to restrict to child The same of the property of the second the right of a child to the go test a reas harassing of b. otton for him and a st. school personne the contract of the these aphred atom and a detection of. beganis Alendar in garage of garage

report to the writer of the second of the second

Stylen and Francisco Stylen Stylen Stylen St. the extra the garge of the first grant tree, the second with an other entruction there is making the Lod a dypown days the or the til A general in property of A school of the organization. or in sat he discipling that a trap here accorded to by It was due not be present entire pears in gettings profess made in Bright (1) and thereby Bright at their of the AND THE PROPERTY OF A STATE OF THE STATE OF STATES AND THE STATES OF THE Assembly When the great the character and type of a charge of the configuration of the co give a topologic topic to be given in an at a contract of the العراق المحال العراق المراج المعار بمطاف والعراضية المراز والمحارف الما the first of the second section is the second section of to the first of the contract of the state of and I was to recorded, as a most. The property of the section of the section of the A House of the transfer of the transfer to The same of the same of the same of the same property to the party of the contract of the and the graph of the state of the state of Compagned to a property of the second of the property of grand a grand of the grand to the first the But the second of the second of the second grand and are the area of the same and the to for a second of a de la contraction

The property of a second or a second of the second of the



The scatter eights they are committed to apholic. Viscoschools have not require students to say through they do not here as each in practices or the Pledge of Allegar or Not constitute schools not punct, or discontout, takents for exercising any of these rights but they are objected to see to it that the school adopts whatever tales and fequirements are coessing to enable students to exercise their.

the tos somethic court has said that

The Normal of the dependent of the made of the second of t

and the same

And the second of the second o

So much for the rights at stake in school rules and requirements. Let us turn now to these of stake in the practices a school chooses to use for bringing about compliance with its rules and requirements. These include other costs rights to various forms of protection that must be attorded individuals who are susin ted or accused or ottenses. It is a right of is historical that school authorities will pursur misheharior en soch a way as'to promise to establish trady anyone's guide or innocence. is an owner of the general right to procedand gar process. Also a student who is a . with has quight to be protected from par shapers. A though the constitue on Egyptamine of such rights were intended for persons accosed in a minar cases, the one pilits exit with one modifications in disciplinary cases in schools particularly where charges may lead to such secous penit is as a super soon for note than a text days a advise of the transfer

One aspecting procedure due process for student is the count to be pressured announced port there, it is a paid to different to reason and the country of th

stances from the specific move of clint provided to the specific techniques of a techniques of the techniques of the techniques of the techniques of the specific techniques of the spe

the second of th

sion or a warrant. School officials on the other hand, may conduct searches when they have good specific reasons to and perhaps when they merely have general suspicions on this the low is not clear. School officials may confiscate objects that are dangerous or are the occasions of improper behavior, they are probably obligated to return such objects to the second officials of the control of th

Students have the right not to be proshed except in in feet, now it is the prince fore which insofar as the penalty is serious must approach toring due princess of last Imporbudshidya ad bipode and to firm a price a firm to firm or explicit language before any ottense against there is committed so that students from in attack what is and what is not punishable we require such a probabilism on anhe enough country is instrument for the or high potential to a grown one of more than Style tions in it has appointed to detend the time of the contribution of the time of the and the state of t ورافع والأفراق في المرافع والمرافع والم the same of the same of the same

A second of the second of the

this particular right has been the basis of very ten legal challenges to school disciplinary practices principals and teachers may have to rely on their own best judgment as to what is crief and unusual. In the past paddling a child has not been defined legally as "cruel and unusual in fact most states still authorize school boards to permit corporal punishment, and some give them no choice but to do o Bylanuary 1973 however two states and many individual school districts had banned corporal punishment attogther practice of administering corporal punishment to students is under attack in the courts and areas one day be universally outlawed although no court has yet do no so

As was suggested above at would seem to be a polation of the public's the students and his prients eight to be eight hild of er el ago given an edu ation et a student services bided from school for any length of the hexager series his offices much have tiver. For many years, courts have permitted en a lottica si hi suspend students of school ments and and exercised them and most do the belief a growing however I provide a condite the rights of both the transfer of its own interest instifor the choice and made attendance at them compared and of the oils deal to not been not preductive more than per to be to be to bendance the page With the Contract Report of the to



⁽²⁾ The control of the control of

and the state of the history in the end the end the

Students also have a right to be protected again transact damaging entries in their permanent records. In this connection parents have the right to inspect the richildren's records. Apart from that students have a right to have their records kept contidential. Students have too the right to an academic transcript based on acres verment, and not on behat for or presumed attitudes.

Besides these rights, students have of course whatever other legal rights have been granted them by legislatures state and local chool hoards and other officials. For sample in at least one school district students are legally prefected from sarcasm by teacher. In some they are granted rights to share in the runding of the schools. Local and state codes of students rights are multiplying rapidly.

It is important to remember the landamental characteristic of these rights. Students are not required to do anything in order to be entitled to their rights. The Report of the Mh. House Conference pointed this out.

A in the color of children's fact in general the natt of hick or lider by a residual do not have to be carried. Then exercise is the distribute process of the color of the co

A the Contention Report aso sad

The section of the complex of adaptive territorial to the complex of the section of the complex of the complex

Street chadrent operatory voinger one than own rights

their parents must often represent them—either informally or legally. In this sense, parents can be thought of as sharing their children's rights vis-a-vis the schools. Of course, parents also have certain rights of their own at stake in schools related to their right to bring up their children as they deem best. On this basis one tederal court has held that a child's parents have the legal right to veto the inflicting of physically violent punishment on him.

While the rights we have listed are the main ones to be considered in designing a school's governance program others may need to be taken into account as well. Not only do a tew states have explicit laws granting teachers the right to take certain kinds of disciplinary measures as has been suggested but in some school districts, collective bargaining agreements have created rights for teachers and teachers organizations that concern discipline

In the last analysis it is up to courts, rather than school officials to determine the limits of sarious rights that appear to conflict. When courts become involved in balancing rights in disciplinary matters it is usually as a result of law suits brought by parents. Nothing can stop a parent from suing when he weats to, it is everyone's right to sue. Parents often sue to have a suspension overfuled. Sometimes, too, they sue for damages. In 1970, a principal tather unimercituity harassed a how who had disobooked a school rule and was steel for and required to pay damages.

A suit either to overturn a school's disciplinary action or to obtain damages from a school off, (all presumably will be won only if the judge or jury finds that a right has been intringed judges at parcular will generally respect chool officials opinions as to what balance of interests respects the rights of all



concerned and will generally let bem do whatever can reasonably be regarded as suit able for accomplishing what the school is supposed to accomplish. Ordinarily is other words courts will overrule school official actions only it those officials seem to be trying to do something they lack the authority to do or when the measures they use are quite unreasonable or violate constitutional rights. But since defining rights precisely is a matter of exercising human judgment, what judges and nities will say is not entirely predictable, and a principals or a teachers view of what is a suitable way to balance interests and uphoid rights will sometimes be overruled. This is a risk of the profession pechaps. But it can almost always be avoided if the school official tries to be aware of the various interests at stake and tries to keep them in balance. The more successfully he can do that the better position he will be in to discharge his governance obligations satisfactorily.

See Some Soft the White House Contenues on Children Society Air hingson D.C. U.S. consention Printing Office Soft

2 Stephen R. Goldstein. The Scope and Sources of School Book Authority to Regulate Student Conduct and Stock A. Nonconstitutional Analysis. University of the Asia, Law Review 117 January 1969, 373-430. C. No. Angina State Board of Fluctuon & Bainette.

319 6 5 6 4 7 143

4. A good manual on this subject is Robert E. Phay systemsion and Exposion of Pathic School Studiest. No. 3 on the NOLPE Monograph Sec. Topeka Natural Organization on Tegal Problems. E. Education 1971. School administrators are also advised to studiest, amplications of the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Cresis Tope. January 1975, which is quites due the Cisson of the Cisson and susteessor.

- 5 W Charles to be come there igner
- · Ib.d
- ib d

A good attochacter to the correct because of about discipline is Dec Schooled. More to Kantonia Scientific Dec School Leadership Digist No. 13. Amongton Act. Noticeal Association of Frenchine Scientific Landing 1975.



Fundámentals of Governance: Definitions, Norms, and Influence Measures



Fundamental to any student governance program are its legal bases, discussed in Chapter 1, and its basic norms, influence measures, and compliance devices that undergird the entire program. Every control technique has its roots in one or several of the more fundamental elements of the governance program that will be discussed in this chapter. A basic purpose of this chapter is to clarify the nature of these elements, norms, influence ineasures, and compliance devices. In addition, attention is given to the problem school personnel frequently have with communicating what they mean who they talk about "children respecting adults, "classroom control," "permissive atmosphere" and other commonly used terms

PROBLEMS WITH DEFINITIONS

Consider the very words generally used by people who talk about discipline. The basic

vocabulary is so full of ambiguities that, unless one defines what he means by the words used most often, misunderstanding is inevitable. Even worse, perhaps, ambiguous terms invite people to switch meanings in the middle of their lines of reasoning, and they thus end up misleading themselves.

The word "respect," for example, which is constantly used in conversations about school discipline, has three distinct meanings. 1) evidences of restraint or considerateness in dealings with other people, as in, "Regardless of your feelings about one another, I insist that you show respect for each other". 2) one person's opinion of another person's power to have his way, or the other person's power rating or prestige, as in "After I sent that boy to the office, the class seemed to have more respect for me," or "Since punishing them, I have their respect", and 3) high regard for someone, as in, "I really came to respect that

19



boy' Every member of a school is entitled to respect in the first sense, and teachers, principals, and student leaders need, but must earn or inherit, a degree of respect in the second sense. No one is automatically entitled to respect in the third sense but if anyone is to have it, as every teacher should, he or she must earn it by displaying qualities other people value. The three meanings are so different that the constant use of the term "respect" without definition almost proves that our thinking is confused. And as long as we continue to use the word this way, we are unlikely to understand the subject.

Consider the word "responsible." It too, has three meanings (1) mature or wise, as in, Carol is very responsible", 2) having prerogative or authority, as in, 'I'll let you be responsible for deciding that entirely by yourself", and 3) accountable to someone else, as in, I'm holding you responsible for doing this Such a statement as 'children must learn to be responsible" uses the word in the first sense, the way to bring this situation about is to permit children to be responsible in the second sense. To make them responsible in the third sense may not accomplish that end, and may even intertere with it. That is, children cannot become responsible in the first sense of the term until they have enjoyed responsibility in the second sense. To expect children to learn responsibility in the abstract is tuble. It is rather like expecting an athlete to become skillful in a sport without ever permitting him to play the game

The word "permissive" has two distinct meanings 1) the rules and requirements are very liheral (though they may be tirmly enforced), as in "That school is very permissive, students call the teachers by their first names", and 2) the enforcing of rules is lax, as in "The

kids aren't supposed to chew gum, but that teacher is so permissive that he lets them"

"Strict," when applied to a person, has two similar meanings and a third one. 1) his rules are restrictive as in, "Mr. X is very strict, he doesn't allow his children to chew gum", 2) the person entorces to the hilt whatever rules there are, as in, "Mr. Y has hardly any rules, but he is strict about the ones he has", and 3) aloot, unfriendly, as in, "Mr. Z is so strict he never smiles."

Democratic has at least two meanings II humane, kind, and considerate, as in, "He's a very democratic principal", and 2) self-governing, as in, "We have machinery for making certain decisions democratically by secret ballot. A principal who says he is committed to operating democratically, using the word in the first sense, may mislead himself into thinking that he is being democratic in the second sense. He is not likely to mislead teachers or students however who will probably think him hypocritical.

The word 'treedom' suffers from the same weakness. It can mean 1) being able to do anything one is disposed to do as in "He believes in complete treedom for his tourth-graders' or 2) being able to do what one is disposed to do within limits, as in, 'Ffeedom doesn't mean license incipals and teachers are likely to use the term in the second sense, while students use it more often in the first sense. Some people who talk and write about discipline do not even appear to see the difference.

The various meanings of the word "d.scipline" itself have been pointed out often, and for most writers on the subject the word has come to stand only for the subject of regulating student conduct in general. Some practitioners, however, still use it to mean "pun-



ish.' Many people see it as a term for the overcoming of all that is evil, but many others see it as a dirty word. Because the word has connotations that some educators dislike many people substitute the term. control.'

"Control, however, is also ambiguous. It can mean. 1) complete power over something, as in. A driver should always have control over his car', or 2) partial power over something to the extent necessary for a certain purpose as in, "Ms. X allows her students a lot of treedom, but she has the necessary control." Some principals and teachers, recognizing the need for control over students in the second sense, believe this means they must achieve control in the first sense. To do so is to invite rebellion.

Because of the ambiguities in all these terms, they usually cause more trouble than they are worth to anyone closely examining the problem of the governing of students and trying to separate out its different elements in general therefore they shall be avoided in the analysis and recommendations in this book and others too, might be wise to do the same

A number of more helpful concepts and terms are suggested by the fact that regulating schoolchildren's behavior is a matter of exercising legal authority a matter of governance. Using these terms may improve our understanding of the subject.

Above all, one must make the distinction between three different disciplinary concerns norms compliance devices and apparatuses for governance.

NORMS

The first concern is that of defining the kinds of behavior that will be required en-

couraged, allowed, discouraged or torbidden. The decisions made in a school about which kinds of student behavior are to be placed in each of those categories can be thought of as decisions about *norms*, using the term somewhat differently, of course, from the way sociologists usually use it. Norms can apply to any kind of overt behavior, indeed, school people sometimes try to make them apply to attitudes, as in, "Something has to be done about that girl's attitude."

Some kinds of behavior are simply not allowed at all. Other kinds may be viewed as a nuisance but, under certain circumstances at least, are tolerated. Some kinds of behavior are simply not of disciplinary concern. Some kinds are encouraged by school authorities but not required, and some kinds are reguired. Thus, the norms in a given school can be arranged on two scales, the negative ones, from the most important through the less important ending with the behaviors to which the norms don't apply, and the attirmative ones, from the least important through those of more and more importance, ending with the mandatory ones. How important a particular norm is in agreen situation has a bearing on what should be done about the possibility or students' not complying with it. And whether it is an attrimative norm or a negative one may have a good deal to do with whether a particular measure aimed at bringing about compliance with it is likely to be effective

Another way of distinguishing between norms is based on how they are intended to serve their purpose. Some norms are aimed at serving governance purposes directly, in other words, it is presumed that when students comply with the norms, the right at stake is automatically ensured. Norms that call on students to retrain from setting build-



ings on fire, to refrain from affacking people with weapons, to refrain from bothering others at their work, to be courteous enough to facilitate good human relations, and not to buck the lunch line, for example, call for behavior that contributes directly to the upholding of the rights of people in the school or connected with it. They define requirements and restrictions that serve governance purposes directly. Norms of this kind can be called elemental norms. By definition, an elemental norm cannot properly be dispensed with unless it appears that the connection between the behavior and the right at stake doesn't exist after all, or at least isn't very important

Norms, on the other hand, that call on students to retrain from bringing weapons to school, or to be silent during their lunch, or to stay out of the hallways while other students are at their studies define behaviors that don't directly relate to anyone's rights but do so, presumably, indirectly. Because bringing weapons to school doesn't per se injure anyone, torbidding it doesn't per se provide protection, but it does create a situation in which the really injurious behavior (that is, the using or discharging of the weapon) is less likely to occur. Norms that define behaviors that are presumed to serve governance purposes indirectly can be called instrumental norms. One of the typical characteristics of instrumental norms is that they are not indispensable, usually such a norm can be dropped and its work done by another, or by some entirely different device. More will be said about instrumental norms below

This brings us to a second disciplinary concern—choosing and using devices or practices for influencing students to comply with the norms

COMPLIANCE DEVICES

The number of specific kinds of things one can do to influence students to comply with norms (what can be called compliance devices) is huge. One class of experienced teachers, working for one class period with no advance notice, drew up a list of over one hundred, some being broad categories of measures, such as "adopting a more interesting curriculum"; some very specific, such as "keeping the student after school ten minutes", some applicable in many situations, and some only in very specialized ones

Compliance devices are of two general kinds. One is instrumental norms, which we have just mentioned added rules or requirements that are instituted to make it more likely that students will comply with the norm one is really concerned with. The other is various torms of direct action, what one may call influence measures.

An influence measure is an overt step that the would-be influencer of someone else's behavior takes to achieve that purpose. Giving an order, making a request, smiling, instituting a new curriculum, redecorating the building, and creating an effective student council are examples. If the measure works, it is because it makes use of at least one basic process or procedure that has potential for influencing behavior. The relationship between measures and what we can call basic influence procedures is analogous to that between prescriptions and the drugs used in them, the procedures make the measures effective procedures are familiar to everyone, including making promises and threats, rewarding, punishing, providing relevant information, and giving psychotherapy. There are a good many others, but in comparison with the indefinitely

ERIC "
Full Text Provided by ERIC

23

large number of possible influence measures, the number of basic influence procedures is relatively small.

Just as a given prescription may contain a single drug or a number of different ones, an influence measure may use a single basic influence procedure or a number of them in combination. For example, a remark or even a series of facial expressions may communicate to a student information about possible objective consequences of a behavior, reassurance that one likes him or her (a form of promise), and a stimulus to examine his own motives (a bit of psychotherapy)

Basic influence procedures tend--again, like drugs- to work in characteristic ways and have characteristic kinds of effects. Thus the procedures used by a measure will tend to determine the kinds of consequences the measure will have, and in any given situation some measures will work better than others. When several procedures are combined in a measure, the measure is likely to have a complex of effects. In fact, sometimes for better and sometimes for worse, the effects caused by one procedure may mask or block out the effects or side effects of another.

Influence measures may be used to influence behavior not only directly but indirectly—in at least two ways—1) obviously, rather than making use of a basic procedure oneselt, one may often mobilize someone else, perhaps even the student or students in question, to do so, and 2) one may use a measure to influence students to engage in, or retrain from, behaviors that stand in certain kinds of relationships to the behaviors with which one is really concerned. Thus, when one's goal is to get students to behave in one certain way, one may influence them to engage in another behavior that is a prerequisite to the first behavior or is

conducive to it, or to refrain from a behavior that is incompatible with it. If it's a matter of getting a student to refrain from a certain behavior, one may influence him to retrain from a behavior that is a necessary prerequisite to it, or is conducive to it, or to engage in a behavior that is incompatible with it. These various kinds of related behaviors can be called instrumental behaviors. To define such a behavior as desirable or undesirable on a regular basis is, of course, to create an instrumental norm. Sometimes one specific routine behavior has a tendency to lead to another, which in turn tends to lead to another, and so on. In such a situation instrumental norms may be linked together in chains

The instrumental requirements and norms seem to fall into four categories

All schools have as an elemental norm that children not fight with knives. To get children to comply with it, however, they institute ditterent instrumental norms, some don't allow children to remove knives from their pockets while at school, others don't allow them to bring knives to school at all, and others ban knives of a certain type, still others have no instrumental norms for this purpose but allow the elemental norm to stand by itself. While the student behavior with which such rules deal doesn't in and of itself affect governance purposes one way or another, it is directly geared to fostering, or preventing, behavior that would, and that is therefore embodied in an elemental norm. Such rules thus illustrate what we may call directly instrumental norms

The second category is illustrated by the requirement that children address a male teacher as "Mr," as well as by most general dress and haircut requirements where they still exist. Norms of this kind are not elemental or central to the school's business, but



they are intended to have a psychological effect on children, making them generally more tractable and more disposed to do what the school wants them to do. They can be called servility promoting norms.

Some instrumental requirements are laid on students as part of an influence procedure, most often a punishment. The requirement that under certain circumstance: students stay after school is a good example. When such requirements are made into standing rules, they become, in effect, instrumental norms. Such norms we may call norms incidental to influence measures.

Finally, getting students to do things together that are aimed directly at helping bring about compliance with norms—including helping in the setting of instrumental norms and participating in student self-governing aodies, in other words, involving students in governance processes—can contribute to compliance with elemental norms. Norms calling for such involvement in disciplinary or governance matters on a routine or required basis can be called *political norms*.

Instrumental norms of these four kin. Is have one important characteristic in common almost always the purpose of any given one can also be served, sometimes not quite so effectively sometimes more so, by any of several others or by influence measures. Thus, tew specific instrumental norms are indispensable a great deal of the concern about student conduct in the typical school focuses, by the way, on instrumental rather than elemental norms

With a sizeable number of basic procedures to put to work, an enormous number of possible measures through which to do this, and the further possibility of using instrumental norms, the number of possible ways of influencing students' behavior is very great indeed.

For any given situation, however, the possibilities may be limited. It would take a large volume to explore even the most obvious possibilities and the kinds of situations in which they might or might not fit. Here we can only touch on some of the factors to consider. The advantages and drawbacks that various influence measures and instrumental norms will have in any given situation will depend on which depend on the situation itself. Here is a summary of the most important characteristics.

Some devices can be used effectively only when certain conditions are given they are dependent on prerequisite conditions. If a teacher is to forestall students' stealing by locking up her purse, she needs a lock for her desk Rewarding students for desired behavior requires that one know what they like, tangible rewards require supplies that cost a little money, and a "free-time" room requires that the space be available. Also, for rewards to be used, the students must already have engaged in the desired behavior or a reasonable facsimile of it. If one is to punish a student, one must know what he really dislikes, and he must have engaged in an unwanted behavior (Punishment can hardly be used effectively with children to correct sins of omission) Measures that involve communicating to students what will happen or what one will do depend on the user's having an image as one whose predictions, promises, or threats are to be taken seriously. One might speak of the respective prerequisites as credibility, goodwill rating, and fearsomeness. A political instrumental norm can be used only insofar as students have learned certain group behavior skills and have appropriate leadership. These are just à tew examples



Devices are compatible or incompatible with students individual rights. As was discussed in Chapter 1, only those that are compatible may properly be used. Thus however effective it might be in influencing children's conduct a principal's prving into their private or tamily attairs, which is generally a violation of their right to privacy is not permissible The kinds of devices most likely to entail viciations of students rights measures that involve punishment and servility-promoting instrumental norms need to be examined closely from this point of view. Also, if a device is to be compatible with the students' right of access to an education it must be tree of any tendency to harm their educational development. It used to be generally believed that restricting children's treedom and treating them harshly was good for them. This view has found no support in modern psychological study on the contrary, there is abundant evidence that restrictiveness and barshness usually retard development. Some torms of discipline, though, can be educational, and others can be essentially neutral, or, to use Fritz Redl's term, antiseptic 2 School officials have an obligation, clearly to choose compliance devices that are educationally antiseptic or helpful

Since influence measures that develop negative attitudes toward authority or toward school officials and other adults are countereducational they are wherever possible to be avoided. It they are used, it should be as an exception rather than as a general rule. Obviously, this means threats and punishments above all. Used over a period of time threats and punishments also tend to have the countereducational effects of lowering students self-concepts and self-confidence and discouraging the initiative, experimenting, and exploring on

Which learning ultimately depends. Threats and punishments tend to have the further harmful side effect of turning students, aftention away from the question of the real goodness or badness of the behavior itself to the questions whether the adult approves or disapproves of it, whether he is likely to detect it, and how he will respond thus delaying the student's developing of independent judgment. There is research indicating that threats and punishments produce anxiety and other disturbances in students other than those at whom they are directed just as they do in their recipients. Only one form of punishment may be useful and noninjurious punishment that works not through causing pain, but through communicating to students that the punishing authority simply will not tolerate the behavior in question

Promising and rewarding avoid these negative attitudinal effects indeed, the attitudes they produce are favorable—but they share the tendency to limit students independence. As Jerome Bruner has put it

Often emphasis upon reward and punishment under the control of an outside agent such as a feacher—diverts attention away from success and failure. In effect, this may take the learning initiative away from the child and give it to the person dispensing the rewards and punishments—One of the great problems in feaching—is to give the rewarding function back to the learner and the task.³

Influencing students by giving them intormation especially information pointing to the substantive advantages and disadvantages of various kinds of behavior tends to teach them something about the way the world works, which is educational as well as giving them a tayorable view of authority and of the particular adult. And influencing students by stimular



lating them to think obviously tends to have good educational side effects.

Servility-promoting norms tend because of their effects on students self-concepts to be particularly damaging both to the students they affect directly and to others on whom their impact is indirect.

Political norms involving students in selfgovernance practices may be damaging it they are instituted too precipitately or without adequate help from more experienced persons but they are so central an educational procedure that to tail to include them in a governance program would be to intringe or the student's right to education.

Moving on to another kind or characteristic compliance devices may have they can be ethicacion in varying degrees and in different ways. A measure or an instrumental norm can be efficacions in three ways, reliably, rapidly and persistently, that is, so that the results last

the reliability of a device is the certainty with which it will produce the intended respite in general those procedures that put the one, of deciding what to do on the student tend to be less reliable. On the other hand those that leave students no options other than to do what is wanted are obviously completely reliable, punishment, abatever its other drawbacks does tend to be bughlithough fir from entirely in a ble with children is do other procedures that armise strong rect ing. The reliability of a particular measure is often a reflection of the strength with which it a brought to bear for instance, the seconts of the purchasent the size of the risard offered on the intensity of the edge drong! enort brought to bear

A device scrapidity of effect of eldenends on the intensity with which the device is breight to bear. In the case of measure, that say some thing about the tuture. like predictions promises and threats the closer the events seem to be in time, the more rapid the effect is likely to be. Continuous punishment tends to be quick acting it the child understands that it will end as soon as he complies. All procedures that hinge on stildents weighing complex considerations or changing beliefs they have field for a long time, however, tend to be slow acting. Political instrumental norms are also likely to be somewhat slow acting.

The persistence of a device's effects has to be judged in relation to the particular norm In some cases, bringing about comphance with the norm for an hour can be regarded as a very persistent effect in our reases, one wants the enects to last for months or years. The more important the norm, the longer the period over which we are likely to want compliance to persist. In important matters, elementary school principals might use as a measure of persistence the degree to which their ettoits have led their former students as a group to be mature and self-deciplined when they are in high chood to general the more reliable procedures are the fess persistent simply because renable measures keep in the influencers hands the decision as to whether the student All comply and hence keep him from learning to make that decision for lamself. Measures that depend on the consequences students behavior have for them either pleasant or unpleasant are likely to be persistent to the extent that the consequence, themselves perat It the consequences are real or natural, the meanings are likely to be persistent, but it the correquences are created by the would be effuences as restands and punishments are ordinaria those measures must be kept up Rewards mult be continual enough to be conyira ing punishments must be almost continu

ous as long as the noncomplying behavior lists—except when they are so severe as to be traumatic. Among the most pesistent desices it they are effective at all, are those that provide students with information or corous kinds including information about options and their natural consequences, and those that engage students in making decisions about long-term costs and benefits and psychotherapy. Political instrumental norms to core "kely to be persistent"

It is interesting to note that the most reliably and rapidly effective desices tend to be the least persistent ones and vice versa. This suggests that school people who want a high level of compliance with elemental norms in the long run should expect to experience a good deal of noncompliance in the short run while they are bringing the slower-working compliance devices to bear and writing for them to take effect.

finally compliance devices differ a how costly they tend to be to their users in terms of effort or resources. It is surpusing how little attention has been given in books on school practice and on contingent temporecment behavior modification to the amount of effort teachers are required to expend on possible disciplinary pracedures. A second grade teacher who had a rather poesicla in torlexample decided to experiment one day with her DAN contingent reinforcement scheme, gnor ing all unwanted behalicer and latishly praising and complimenting children who behaved as she wished. She reported immediate dentastic success in improving order. The use reported being so exhausted at the cod of the day that she was abandoning bereichen. Some procipals make such great it in the its punish ments and servible promoting normalities they put themselves upto a continuous adsersars

relationship with students and are consequenty exhausted at the end of every day Some modurcations in physical settings can be Lety cheap in effort. Most common aciding of internation is likewise undersarding. Promis come cheap in imprediate effort, afters they are effective assuming the care kept, they rend to carry some cost. Hired- too come chean when they are effect as their cost to the person who makes them may be quite low except the cost in enjotional strain, when they are cheffective, they commit that person to the threatened action which may in ma, not be costly. Procedures that stimulate students to think or change their emotional disposition like quasi-therapeutic techniques, find to cost a for or effort. Instrumental norms are as costly in effort as whatever influence measures ire meded to bring about complained with their Those that call is ristudents, doing things they badly want not to do or retraining from doing things they bady do want to do are tikely or course to be quite cost's

The other way a complement device may be costs, is that it may have a tendericy to dimurable the resources that the person who uses that the person who uses that at his disposal for discharging his governance responsibility. While come decrees are such that using them enhances one scapability of influencing students in the tature some diminish it. Occasionally, principals and backers while endervoining to get students to contour to particular rules will squartie rather than to particular rules will squartie rather the corn to the testure. They are particular, priors to do the will then good will return

Norms too an broad that there exists on the power of whoever is supposed to get by deat to comply with them. There that me an imative calling in studies to be them, tend in general to be more exist, then those

ر ...

entropy of the second s

the property of the second second A compared to the compared to

APPARATUSES FOR GOVERNANCE

self colornes on the configuration and was Conservation among the other to except so other as these whose objection it is to play given names roles have the disposition to disposition.

They read as a store of become of a skills as we'll. They must have sky up timeny india tives a sea the students in apposition. For any particular procedure they are using they must have whatever technical skill it requires whether I be the athertic about to re train a wild student physically, the ability to course the ability to despresed operate of \$100 econom, or whatever to the use or main procedures they may been to have the an elight shi my their enchyddy or trasporture . And under some corganitations, who is students may misinterpret their intentions or post ces, they need sket in a continuous score manual on. The med for these parts schools of skill particular Taply the last two passes some beginning teach great great heat or trainer to the first to burnance Engineer, terring to work will it dents who are accepted that the restrictive and panel is set ting part of the distribution of private single required out to message it is to deal to the may the providing the second of the property of

Butter to the restriction of the second of t they be a second of the second of the second greate the same of the same of English to the good and the detect of the second Suggestion of the contract of the contract of The term of the second of the general and the second of the graph of the contract of the contract of Agreement the compared to the commencer with Story and early the tag to the control of the talks of the second 1.0 Some of the form the form of the state HOLDING THE PARTY OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRAC the section of the se and the state of t potentially a prevention think. School officials should not be, to hide from the fact that they have this power and exercise it but the ratioer to decide in a professional tash on how their power should and should not be used and try to a beyon and to should not be used and try to a beyon and to should or power their need for soming to it that the rights of those who depend in them are atequated.

Power is on, me aspect of a serior is appa ratus for the governance of students. The other some understanding though all a to do which aspect of the job degally, the authority to regulate students, conduct rests ultimately as the properties and teachers are practical house ever the, mr. share the work with others expectable teacher aides and students. While aides and students generally shouldn't be aboved to average the judgment of school others about important "emental norms they can have it the thinking about which norms of one the category and they can within in to make decisions about the degree of in the real of the different transports, which is first expension of approximate the ability of the approximate a with a few hill a perhaps, he the what the start traffic there earlier are note restance of the great right property to the state of the and the second of the second o Commence that the property of the second and the engine of the straight to a great the great straight again the state of proceedings of the second contraction of the second contraction of and the second of the second o أبطين الرفياني البريات والمارية الرجوية المهاب the part of the street of the street of the street of The second of the second of the second of the second بوعفان عافق المتعار الكافيين إفرونوا الرعابي الجاريا الهجو والإنتان والأفرار ومراج المراز والمراجع المراجع of the second processes of sections of the Report was and the second of the second of the second of



in tramental norms

No program of governance for students is complete then, withour both these aspects of a governance apparatus. What form they is addition a given school at a given time and how one can get them into that form are two or the questions that must be considered.

NOTES

- 1 See b. F. Skinner, Why Teachers Fail Saturday See via: 16 October 1965, pp. 80-21, 98-102
- 2 See Fritz Redl. Management of Discipline Probn. o Normal Students in Front Learn stor Love to rese of tearing. Essays in Psychoanalysis and Education eds Rudolph Ekstein and Rocco L Motto (New York Biomner Mazel Publishers, 1969).
- 3 Jerome S Bruner, Needed A Theory of Instruction, Elactional Leadership, 20 (May 1963), 531-32
- 4 See Edward T Ladd, Mexing to Positive Strategies for Order Keeping with Kids Accustomed to Restrictions, Throits and Painishments Urban Education 6 (January 1972) 331.47



Applying Norms and Compliance Devices to Different Situations



How can one choose, from all the possible norms and compliance devices, those that best fit a particular situation? One may choose hurriedly and intuitively, or one may choose consciously and with calculation. However one goes about dealing with the problem, though, there is always a place for the process of thinking situations through, either before or after the fact, and deciding rationally what are or would have been, the most suitable courses of action. For the sake of simplicity, what follows is written as if the decision were still to be made.

Practical people because they are practical, tend to say. 'Fell us what we should do.''. It is natural to be disappointed when the answer comes back. It depends'". But it does depend. It one asked a physician what one should do about a headache, he, too might well reply.

It depends ' In the case of a headache one would have some idea what it would depend

on the cause or causes of the complaint and the remedies available. In the case of a discipline problem, what the solution depends on also can be specified. (There are more ways than one in which discipline problems are like headaches.)

It has already been suggested that there are aspects of situations that may make a difference in regard to the regulating of students' behavior. The following is a summary of the important aspects to consider. Because in practical settings principals and teachers usually have to make decisions about ways of bringing about students' compliance with existing norms before they are in a position to do very much about changing those norms, we shall start with the kinds of circumstances that are particularly relevant to the selecting of compliance devices.

The attributes of the norm in question. First, perhaps, comes the matter of being sure what



32

norm one is concerned with. Is it really that the children should not play with the soccer bail after the bell ings? Or is it perhaps that they get to their next classes on time? The latter norm might call not for interfering with the play itself, but merely reminding the students how little time is left.

Then one might have to take into account the extent of the norm's realism. Is it really possible for children to be quiet for the specified length of time? If it isn't, no matter how important the norm, there's no point in trying to enforce it. One can only take another tack to protect the rights at issue. If the norm is an instrumental one, of course, one may well be able to substitute another one for it, or use a compliance measure instead.

How important is the norm? This is a critical question, one that must be asked repeatedly by school personnel. The more important the norm is, the more of an effort, obviously, one is obligated to make, and the greater expense of other kinds one must be willing to shoulder in order to bring about compliance with it

Is the norm such that getting children to comply with it is likely to produce side effects that might injure someone, educationally, psychologically, or otherwise? Then it may be that one should not try to enforce it but should replace it with another, if it is an instrumental norm, or drop it entirely, if it is a less important elemental norm. The same may apply if the norm is such that to get children to comply with it would be a very costly undertaking

There may, however, be unexpected consequences from dropping a norm. It may improve or damage one's good-will rating and, thus, one's legitimate power. It may communicate the message that one feels unable to resist students' pressure, in other words, that students have the power to overturn norms.

Such a message is a tricky thing. On the one hand, as has been suggested, students must have the educational experience of successfully challenging norms and getting them changed. On the other hand, if they get the idea that they can successfully challenge every norm they don't like, they might go on a rampage of noncompliance. How much effort it will take to communicate to students the exact change in norms that is taking place and to avoid or correct misunderstandings, depends partly on the situation. These are considerations to weigh in deciding whether to try to get students to comply with a norm and, if so, how hard to try, or whether to replace it or drop it

Finally, does the norm call for students to do something or to refrain from doing something? It may make a lot of difference in the probable effectiveness of different approaches. (Getting students to do things is generally harder, of course, than getting them not to do things.)

The prospects of noncompliance. What one will need to do in a given situation will depend on how things stand and the direction in which they are moving

Obviously, there is a difference between a situation where students are actually in non-compliance at the moment and one in which there is only a threat of noncompliance. When students are in noncompliance, one will usually want to consider how long the noncompliance is likely to last in the absence of intervention. It it is coming to an end, there may be no need to do anything about it. Another thing to consider is how likely it is that with or without intervention, the noncompliance will be repeated. If it is unlikely ever to occur again, or certain to recur no matter what one does, there may be no purpose in intervening against it.

33

Sometimes it is likely that students will comply with the norm in question even if there is no intervention at all. Good judgment is required in such situations. Sometimes school people act too hastily and, as a result, make minor problems into large ones. There is a time to act and a time to ignore

In assessing all aspects of a situation, it is useful to know a good deal about the particular student or students involved. One child or group of children may be less or more likely to comply with the particular norm. One group may be particularly rowdy on Mondays, another on Fridays. With younger children especially, the time of day may make a lot of difference as to what is likely to happen—and what is needed.

Finally, it can make a big difference how tar the students' behavior departs from what the norm calls for, since that probably has a lot to do with the degree of danger it implies

The particular kind of effectiveness called for. How reliably must one bring about students' compliance with the norm in question? How quickly? How important is it for the compliance to be lasting? In selecting a compliance device, one will want to consider the answers to those questions

Since the effectiveness of almost all the possible measures depends at least partly on the way the students concerned view situations and think and teel about them, successful prediction of how well various possible measures are likely to work calls for understanding one's students.

Children inevitably view situations differently from the way feachers and principals do In a given situation, each child has his own personal concerns, his own hopes and fears, and his own logic. The best way to under stand the child's behavior is to develop a pretty

good idea of how the situation looks to him. His emotional repertoire is the same as ours—although this sometimes may be hard to believe—and his logical processes are the same as ours, too. The differences between his interpretations and feelings about situations and ours reflect the different assumptions brought to them, different experiences within them, selective perception, and sometimes faulty logic, all of which beset adults, too

It is good exercise, therefore, when one is trying to decide what to do in a given situation, to try to answer such questions as these. Does the behavior the school asks of the student seem possible to him? How difficult or easy does it seem? How appealing or unappealing? What may make the unwanted behavior appealing to him? What may be missing that would make the desired behavior appealing?

One doesn't need to be a psychologist to answer questions like these, although psychological training probably helps. Most principals and teachers can learn a large part of what they need to know by recalling their own childhood and imagining how they themselves would feel in a similar situation, by observing children individually and in groups, and by listening to them and their friends and enemies. This is possible it principals and teachers allow themselves to set aside any feeling of obligation to moralize and instead permit children to talk without showing approval or disapproval. Doing this for the first time, one may be surprised to find out what frightening, unresponsive giants principals and teachers sometimes are in children's imaginations

To the extent one understands how children see things, one is better able to judge what changes have to be made in the situation to



make complying behavior seem both possible and attractive to the children

How do these particular children stereotype teachers? If they believe that only nasty teachers want to keep order or can, they will probably interpret a gentle teacher's actions differently from the way they are intended

What do children know that has a bearing on their behavior? Do they know the rules they are expected to obey? What do these children particularly like and dislike as a group and individually? In what might they be interested? This information points to possible promises and rewards, instrumental norms, information children might be glad to have, questions they can be stimulated to think about, and so on, as well as to political norms or instrumental norms of other kinds that might promote compliance.

How far do children trust adults? Only insofar as a principal or teacher has the children's trust can his promises and cautions function reliably. It one has not forteited one's credihility a threat may be more reliable, in fact, since the children may not want to take any chances, it may work even it one has

The practical possibilities for using various compliance devices. What are the students' capabilities? How able are they, for instance, to deter gratification? How much skill do they have in group self-government? How dependent emotionally have they become on a restrictive punitive environment? If they're very much so they may need a sort of withdrawal programable tone they can respond fully to measures allowing more freedom and requiring more thought. Often a whole school needs such a program sometimes only the new students!

One must also consider one's capabilities tor influencing a situation. These capabilities

depend on one's power and one's psychological characteristics

What resources is one in a position to draw on in a particular situation? There is a gamut of resources available in most elementary schools, but there are usually omissions, such as opportunities to reward children with free time, rank, status, material objects, money, or trips, or with prerogatives more important than cleaning the board or going after the milk. Each one of these, however, is available and is used in some schools

Part of the power that teachers and principals almost invariably need is a disposition or willingness to try to influence children's behavior, but they vary greatly in their disposition to do so. Some have a hard time holding themselves back, others find the notion of influencing a child somewhat repugnant, most fall somewhere in between. The first group obviously has no shortage of this aspect of power The second, however, is often essentially powerless -- tor example, the decent, humane beginners who don't even like to say to anyone, "Please be quiet". A teacher who suffers from this kind of powerlessness may be helped by thinking through the full implications of his professional position. He has not only momentary obligations of courtesy to the child he contronts, but also obligations to him as he will be in future years, to other children in the present and in the tuture, and to the school as a where and the public to whom the school belongs. It he looks at the question of influencing children's behavior in this light, he may find that he has more disposition to use influence devices than he originally thought

Most teachers have teelings and characteristics of one kind or another that prevent their doing what they want to do or ought to do. One shy young teacher who would have



helped himself a lot if he had shown his students he had a sense of humor said that he was simply unable to, that his expressions of good will for students were necessarily limited to being polite and keeping cool on occasions when someone else might have exploded in anger

Today's teachers as a group are probably by temperament more humane and sociable than the general run of the population and are no doubt more vulnerable than one generally recognizes to the human pressures under which they live and work. Although teachers deal with human beings all the time, these dealings are closely circumscribed. Teachers are usually under great pressure both from the people they encounter at work and from within themselves. As many studies confirm, their profession is a lonely one. The more personal stress a teacher is under, be it conscious or unconscious, the more he may be compelled to be ego-involved in discipline and hence to deal with students detensively and aggressively, which means to be restrictive and punitive

Another aspect of hower is skill. Research shows that a teacher's repertoire of skills for dealing with discipline can be enlarged very quickly, and the same is true of principals. But at any given time a principal or teacher is obviously limited to doing those things be is capable of doing.

So far in this chapter we have been talking about tailoring compliance devices to different situations. What about norms?

Because the rights various people have at stake differ in different situations and the student behavior required for upholding rights also differs so should the elemental norms for students, behavior. Principals and teachers should always ask themselves, how great & each of the possible threats to various rights in

this particular situation? Answering that question is, of course, not easy. It may be hard, for example, to determine just how high the level of disorder in a room or a school can rise before it seriously jeopardizes children's learning. There are occasions when there must be real quiet. There are other occasions when children are quite able to learn in the presence of what to an adult looks like chaos. In situations that seem alike, furthermore, the dangers of serious injury, physical or otherwise, may be quite different.

Under public pressure to keep schools neatidy, orderly, and quiet, principals and teachers who are in doubt as to what norms are necessary are often somewhat inclined toward restrictiveness, a tendency they would be wise to keep in mind

As the foregoing suggests, there are ways in which situations differ from one another that apply both to the selection of compliance devices and to the establishing of norms. Two must be mentioned, one of them at some length

JE.

First, the particular educational stakes in the situation. To consider the educational stakes may mean simply to tailor compliance devices to the individual's educational needs for instance, making particularly great use of rewards with students accustomed to failure, mobilizing a sympathetic counselor, teacher, or fellow student to talk with an individual who needs counseling or retraining from bringing parents in when what they would do or say might be likely to retard the student in his progress toward maturity.

But the problem is usually much more complex. The most important educational stake involved in discipline situations is the educating of students for citizenship in a free but law-abiding society. It is common for students



23

to be backward in this regard, that is, in their ability to regulate their own behavior

The more backward children are in selfgovernance, however, the more they need individual treedom and opportunities for group self-regulation. Yet the more retarded a child is in self-governance, generally the worse use he makes of such freedom and such opportunities as he already has to engage in democratic decision making, in other words, the more of a discipline problem he is. Under these circumstances, to modify the school's norms so that he has more freedom, or to use less autocratic and hence less reliable compliance devices so that he may assume a larger role in governing himself, would seem bound to result in his behaving less responsibly. Generally speaking, indeed, the student least able to use freedom wisely and to participate at an appropriate level in group self-government are the very ones who particularly need more freedom and more experience with democracy

This is the greatest dilemma in disciplineso great that some school people, it seems, give up hope of ever reconciling such students' needs in this area with other students' needs to have the school program as a whole move torward safely and effectively. There are understandable reasons for this despair. Most American elementary schools today still have a great number of rules and requirements. In technical terms, their elemental norms tend to be assigned high importance compliance with most of them is viewed as absolutely essential and the same tends to be true of their instrumental norms, which also are numerous. Theretore, most schools make much use of the kinds of compliance devices that are highly reliable and rapidly effective, that is, threats and punishments, implicit and overt. If gum chewing or talking back is viewed as an intolerable

offense, the tendency is to jump on them very hard. Adults tend, in short, to keep the regulating of children's behavior in their own hands. (It is interesting how often school people speak of the governance process as the control of pupils.)

That most children, while progressing through school, make little progress in learning to make wise, safe use of freedom and learning to regulate themselves in groups is not the only consequence of this situation. Another is a degree of alienation and in some cases hostility on the part of many children, which gets steadily worse as they grow older. The more alienated and hostile children are, the less disposed they are to comply with norms set by adults. In other words, the more likely they are to "misbehave," to use the adult word, and the less inclined adults are-understandably-to relax the norms or to abandon the use of threats and punishments in favor of compliance devices that are more educational. A vicious circle is the result. The atmosphere is tense, teachers easily become exasperated-which explains the still widespread use of paddling and other forms of physical punishment and principals are forced into the roles of bogeyman and executioner

Such a situation infringes not only on students' rights to just and humane treatment, but on their rights to make educational progress—as well as often making lite extremely unpleasant for principals and teachers

How can school people lift themselves and their students out of such a vicious circle?

Obviously, getting out entails relaxing the norms—lowering the importance attached to certain elemental norms, and reducing some of the instrumental norms or replacing them with ones that are easier to enforce. Any relaxing of norms may, however, communicate to

36

37

students the unintended message that the school no longer cares as much as it did about their behavior—that now anything goes—or simply that school officials have lost some of their power over students. Therefore, as norms are relaxed, it is important for school officials to make clear to students just which norms are being relaxed and the fact that the other norms remain intact. It is also important to communicate to students that school officials have not lost their legitimate power to bring about compliance with the remaining norms, which means in particular that they have no less resolve than before to do what they are obligated to do in this respect.

When schools relax norms, students will inevitably use some of their new freedom in ways that school officials view as unwise or obnoxious. It is part of the nature of true freedom that other people may use it in ways that we ourselves disapprove. Inevitably, while students are learning to use a newly granted freedom, there may be risks, just as there is a risk the first time a child is allowed to climb a tree or an adolescent is permitted to drive a car. School officials can minimize these risks by providing back-up services, but it the treedom is real, they cannot avoid them entirely Furthermore, school officials cannot be sure that their communications about the precise limits of a grant of freedom and about their continued power to bring about compliance with the norms that remain will be fully understood by students. They should expect students to overstep even the new bounds some-While principals and teachers must concern themselves about any overstepping of bounds and, when the norm is important, must stop it, they will be more successful in breaking the vicious circle if they allow students to know that they understand that when norms

are in the process of being relaxed, some overstepping is normal and natural

Extricating a school from this vicious circle also requires a change in the kinds of compliance devices used. Because the more reliable and quick-acting devices are also the ones that limit freedom more and, in general, tend to arouse resentment, use of them must be reduced. To bridge the gap, less reliable and slower-acting devices will probably have to be used more extensively and intensively than before. Thus, if punishments are used less, or less severely, rewards may have to be increased a great deal, or much more time may have to be spent in dialogue with students or in student self-government sessions. There is a good deal of experience showing that when such changes are made, the level of student compliance with norms tends, in fact, to become higher than before

One very useful way school officials can increase their power to influence students' behavior and thus get through difficult transitrons is to do things or institute practices that have strong emotional appeal to students Some school officials have accomplished wonders by bringing to bear in the kind of leadership they provide students a degree of salesmanship or even charisma, or by developing special group symbols and rituals--practices that tend to raise students' morale and build emotional loyalty to the institution, its representatives, and its requirements approaches, which make powerful use of rewarding as a basic influence procedure, can overcome hostility and strengthen school officials' power to influence behavior, and thus permit a transition to full-fledged adult selfregulation

The more vicious the circle has been, and the older the students involved, the harder it



I have dwelt at some length on the disciplinary approaches required when students are retarded in their education for citizenship and on the problem of meeting those students' needs when they and the school are caught up in a vicious circle of mutual antagonism. Where students have other special educational needs or disabilities, the choice of disciplinary approaches should take them into account, also. To give one example, when students are emotionally overdependent on adults, adults should deliberately avoid making much use of rewards that might perpetuate that dependence

A final consideration in selecting both norms and compliance devices is the special legal requirements and limitations that apply in the situation

first, of course, there are the regulations and documents binding on the particular school, such as state and board of education policies, to consider. Second, there are the interpretations of the law handed down by courts, especially those dealing with school officials' supervisory obligations and students, rights. Predicting what the courts in a given jurisdiction will say isn't always easy, partly because

courts are not always consistent, and partly because they are inevitably somewhat unpredictable. Superintendents may provide good legal advice, but often the school law that they have studied is out of date, and the counsel they get from school board lawyers is more conservative and restrictive than what courts will, in fact, decide. To obtain a balanced picture, it may be wise for a principal or teacher to keep abreast of what is written about school law in good educational journals or to consult informally with competent lawyers of various persuasions.

With situations differing from one another in all these different ways, a good case could be made for the impossibility of giving school people any useful advice about just how to regulate student conduct in any given situation. Nonetheless, elementary schools tend to have much in common with one another, and certain kinds of problems, as has been mentioned, occur frequently. For this reason, it does make sense to offer some advice for certain recurring tasks. This will be done in the next four chapters.

NOTE

1 See Edward T Ladd, Moving to Positive Strategies for Order Keeping with Kids Accustomed to Restrictions, Threats, and Punishments, 'Urban Education 6 (January 1972) 331-47

38

The Principal's Leadership Role in the Governance Program



The principal has more responsibility than any other single person for the norms and influence measures used in the school to achieve governance ends. This does not mean that the principal has the sole responsibility for the governance program. It does mean that he or she must exercise initiative in every aspect of the development and implementation of the program. It also implies that the building principal is the climate setter for the school, a person whose behavior is key to the success or tailure of the total governance program.

The principal must be certain that everyone in the school knows his or her own particular role and plays it at least adequately. He has the responsibility for seeing that the basic norms for the school are defined whether in writing or not, and that their various degrees of importance are clear. He has to see to it that at any given time an appropriate ratio of treedom to order is in effect. He has to see

to it that appropriate instrumental norms are defined and that there are direct influence measures for obtaining adequate compliance with both instrumental and political norms. The principal has to see to it that proper norms for teachers' performance in choosing and applying those measures they will have to employ are developed and understood. He also has to lead in the development of any political norms that go beyond the hounds of individual classes. Thus a unique way in which the principal can contribute to governance is to work with groups of students larger than individual classes.

It is the principal who, above all, has to work with the faculty as a group and with students as a group to see that proper disciplinary or governance policies and procedures are developed and put into effect. This obviously calls for time spent in faculty and committee meetings and meetings with students, discuss-



41)

ing rules, defining rights, working out methods of gaining compliance, and dealing with alleged violations. Once this has been done, the principal must be continually engaged in revising it—all of which takes a great deal of time. Activities of this kind will begin to take place in a school only it the principal assumes the initiative.

Unfortunately, public elementary schools in the United States have had foo little recent experience with anything approaching significant student involvement in governance matters to give principals much help in deciding what procedures and forms have been found to be useful in practice. How much direct democracy should there be? Over what specific matters should students have some say, and what kind and how much over each? In general, students should obviously not be permitted to abrogate important, basic norms, nor to adopt instrumental norms or other approaches for enforcing them that do not provide adequate guarantees that important basic norms will be entorced. But just how much say can they be allowed over less important norms? And how much can they be involved in the process of gaining compliance? What is the place of student monitors or supervisors in a modern elementary school? Should there be a student court? Principals and faculties will have to do their own experimenting on these problems 1

Principals must provide for the review and evaluation of the performance of teachers, as well as of other persons who share in the governance task. Principals themselves can attempt to decide where particular teachers or others are strong and where they need help. In more formal evaluation of individual teachers disciplinary work, faculty morale performance, and evaluation will be enhanced if the

teachers themselves are heavily involved in the entire evaluation process, including the establishment of the criteria on which the evaluation will be based. This procedure, followed in other professional circles—most conspicuously on university campuses—is recommended for schools, as well. Obviously, any evaluation should steer clear of such commonly used but unsophisticated criteria as the quietness or neatness of the classroom, and it should be based on mutually agreed on norms.

Very rarely will a principal teel obligated to intervene directly in a disciplinary matter and give a teacher or other supervisor of children a direct order, or even relieve him of his class. The risks to the self-confidence and prestige of the teacher, not to mention to the principal's rapport with him, are so great that such an action is warranted only in an emergency

Guidance counselors usually play an important part in the governance programs of their schools, and it ordinarily falls to the puncipal to define the role the counselor is to play A counselor's chief role, according to the protession, is to assist his or her client on the client's terms, and there are schools where the counselor's job is so designed that that is the role he plays. In such a school, the counselor is not in authority over students, students go to the counselor only if they want to they are often encouraged to do so but are not sent. and what they say to him is in confidence. He is not a disciplinarian, and he stays out of conterences in which possible punishments may be discussed. In many schools however, guidance counselors serve as psychologically trained assistant principals or student personnel managers. Principals and teachers call on them for help of many kinds, including help with discipline tasks. In these schools, counselors have authority over students, students

are "sent' to them, and in matters of importance neither their conversations with students nor their files remain confidential. There is no doubt that because most of today's principals feel so greatly in need of relief counselors play this second role as trequently as they do the first.

Some principals expect guidance counselors to play both roles and in the discharging of many of their responsibilities they can. But where there is a conflict between the student's goals or behavior on the one hand and the administration's goals or requirements on the other, a guidance counselor cannot walk both sides of the street. If he attempts to do so, he may betray the trust or the student whose helper he purports to be. Because he is known as an agent of the administration, however, he is more likely to fail to win the confidence and trust of the student in the first place.

Until educators succeed in overcoming this serious dilemma, it is the principal's task to decide which role he chooses to have the counselor play. Once the decision is made and everyone in the school is clear about it, the counselor can contribute helpfully to governance, either directly or indirectly, either as the student's helper or as the principal's

Principals are implicitly expected to evaluate the governance arrangements of the school as a whole. A newspaper recently carried a story about a professional group's investigation of discipline in a city school system 'after one teacher was transferred for allegedly slapping a student and a student was suspended for reportedly hitting a principal. Such an investigation of governance conditions conducted when feelings are high is a poor substitute for thoughful calm investigation conducted as a normal, professional routage. Any appraisal of discipline as a whole should allow for changes

that may have been made or that may be in process and people have not had time to adjust to vet. Some criteria of effectiveness might be, for example, the extent to which the school, has been able to dispense with punishment as an influence measure, its attendance figures the level of vandalism to negative criterion obviously the number and quality of suggestions children make, and other indications of high morale.

It talls to the principal more than anyone else to interpret governance practices to the community, a task that is often difficult. Opinion polls and numerous unpleasant episodes have demonstrated how strongly some parents react to the relaxation of autocratic discipline However, the central office often relies on the principal to keep the parents happy. One promising way to educate parents on this score is to involve as many of them as possible in the day-to-day activities of the school as visitors consultants and aides. When schools move as fast as they should in evolving a governance program some battles cannot be avoided, and some of them will be lost. Principals should find comfort in the thought that their professional obligation is to do the right thing and not necessarily to win

As the administrator of the school, the principal may receive communications about governance requirements from his superiors and pass the information on to his subordinates. It is for him to see that his subordinates comply with the school systems requirements. Because he is formally accountable for what goes on in the school, he must know what a being done in regard to discipline and anticipate what may be done. This means keeping lines of communication open with everyone particularly with the children most likely to cause trouble.



The series provides the series of the first se

The track parties to be a second of the green protection the scale treatment of the contract The protect of the August Paper of the Contract of the Contrac Control of the second of the s is the first that I have been a Control of the Contro configuration of the section of the 24 - 2 the strain to the open the sign of the the state of the s the state of the state of the state of But the same of the same of the same of the same and the second of the second o Control of the Contro Control of the second second Control of the Contro $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial$

 especially a representation of the second of the second set to get the contract one for a transfer we the second tation against 3 The same of the sa e type in the second organis and the same six and the second of the second en general ang en 🛊 en lek 🗀 grant the contract of the grant to be the set of the se عالم المنافق المراج المراج المراجع المنافق الم the compact that the second project is be entering the second section of the second section of the state of the s The second of the second

The production of the control of the

the second secon • -• • • • • * * *

A STATE OF THE STA and the same of

``, ' en de la companya de Reconstrucción de la companya de la Reconstrucción de la companya de l the state of the state of the state of the state of A Company of the Comp the state of the s free and great the

the second of th $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right)}{1} \right) \right) \right)} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right)} \right) \right) \right)}$ The second secon $\{ \mathbf{e}_{i,j} \mid \mathbf{e}_{i,j} = \mathbf{e}_{i,j} \mid \mathbf{e}_{i,j} = \mathbf$

region of the contract of the $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mathcal{L}$

Supplied to the second . .

the second contract of $(-\infty,-\infty,-\infty)$. We have $(-\infty,-\infty)$ The second secon the state of the s and the second of the second o the contract of the contract of the property of the and the second of the second o •• • The second section of the second section is a second section of * * * *

A TO THE PARTY OF and the state of the s A Commence of the state of the state of and the same of th $\{ e_{i,j} : i \in \mathcal{E}_{i,j} : i \in \mathcal{E}_$ the first of the second the property of the second of the state of the s

A Thirty of the Contract of th the second of th '. · ' . . .

the state of the s the state of the s and the second s the second of the second of the second of the state of the s The second section of the second section is a second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the second section sec A Park Congress of the Congres the state of the s

NOTE



Governance Tasks That Trouble Teachers and How Principals Can Help



Deciding just what to do in a disciplinary situation is so complex a task that a book like this can help a principal or a teacher only up to a point. The best prescriptions for different situations offer packages of several procedures will ditter partly according to the per sonalities involved libere are many occasions when disciplinary action must be taken immediately and thus must obviously be intuitive In any case, it must always be failured to the principals or the teacher's resources, dispositions and skills. Each individual will have to develop a personal style. In doing so the will probably draw on what he knows and has seen other people do is well as on his magination and will develop habits that fit him. Since an individual style can be either helpful or damaging to children however it must constantly be reexamined and insofar as it seems mappropriate in any way modified

As far as judging the effectiveness of an

approach goes, these two principles should be kept in mind. 1) measures that are educationally more useful, and whose effects in producing compliance are more persistent, tend to be slower acting and less reliable, and 2) the most reliable and quickest acting measures tend to have damaging educational side effects. Principals and teachers are likely, however, to feel they must overcome this morning's chaos this morning and put an end to today's petty or routine disorder today or at least this week Often they feel this way because many parents dislike noisy disorderly schools and have ways both of making their preferences tell and of inducing principals and teachers to want to respond to them. Furthermore, rightly or wrongly people who work in schools tend to suspect that on the issue of discipline superintendents views are inclined to be conservative. School people, then, are usually under great pressure to work themselves into believ-

ERIC Full faxt Provided by ERIC

10

.15

ing what is not true but is reassuring that measures that are quick acting and reliable are effective in the long run and that they are also educationally superior or at least educationally neutral.

These are rationalizations against which school people must constantly be on their guard. And the danger of falling into them, more than anything else makes it important for school people to keep studying their disciplinary actions. They should allow themselves to respond to situations like the warmblooded human beings they are rather than like self-conscious computers but they should also keep checking up on their intuitions and responses by subjecting them to thoughtful analysis fach of the suggestions offered in this chapter will carry intuitive conviction for some principals. Used under typical circumstances, they will all stand up under theoretical analysis

To judge by the way principals allocate their time, tew of them see helping teachers learn how to deal with their problems as a large part of their role. However, the task teachers face are difficult in and of themselves, none more so than the disciplinary tasks it seems and teachers find it hard to develop a satisfactory personal style for dealing with them. They can use all the assistance they can get, and such help will pay off in a better school and better learning as well as in other ways. Principals are in a particularly good position to give teachers the assistance they need or to see that someone else gives it to them.

Provided a principal has the necessary talents perhaps the most effective single way he or she can help teachers is to talk will, them rather than to them, listen to them, and make suggestions. As he does so, it will help it he

has thought through the various kinds of problems each teacher may have

Teachers have difficulty with different aspects of governance, different governance tasks, which should be distinguished from one another. One is the prophylactic task of promoting general conditions in the classroom and the school that are conducive to student compliance with elemental norms. Another is the task of responding to major offenses against norms, a task, by the way that teachers don't contront very often. What can be done to help teachers with these two tasks will be considered later. First, though, let us look at three other tasks that regularly confront teachers and cause them the most trouble.

In the case of each of the three, a number of specific suggestions that principals may pass on to teachers will be listed. Because circumstances in different elementary school classrooms around the country are remarkably alike it seems possible to offer such suggestions without seriously violating the principle that measures must be tailored to fit the characteristics of individual situations. The suggestions won t always fit and some teachers will probably reject them for one reason or another or try them and find them wanting. Others who try them, however, will find them useful.

The sequence in which the three tasks and the suggestions for handling them are taken up is the sequence in which teachers most often have to face them

Turning Chaotic Situations into Moderately Orderly Ones

The school brings an unorganized collection of children to a specific place at a specific time, and the teacher is expected to get them started



3

on some productive activities. Ordinarily, it is the teacher's responsibility to do what is known in parliamentary terminology a calling the meeting to order. Often the students come in quietly enough and settle down well enough but sometimes there is chaos. This may be the case with a class the teacher knows well, when it has just come back from lunch, physical education, or outdoor play, when for some reason a functioning order has broken down.

When there is chaos or the immineral threat of chaos, the teacher needs measures that are quick acting and reliable. The point is to establish order of almost any kind, so that the teacher can be in a position to take any of a variety of other measures. The measures taken at the start, therefore, need not ordinarily be persistent in effect. And because getting started is quite important and should be a short-lived business, the teacher may properly use measures that are fairly high in cost and may even have injurious side effects.

Here are some specific suggestions that may help a teacher with this task

- I If this is the beginning of your dealings with these children decide beforehand just what limits and requirements you are going to try to get them to comply with for the first week or so. Don't make the restrictions very different from what the students are already accustomed to except to eliminate any unnecessary requirements that are hard to entorce
 - 2. Get into place before the group arrives
- 3. When you meet the class as a group use a variety of means—your words your tone of yoice—your expression—where you stand—to communicate to them that you're a really decent, pleasant, triendly person, yet one who has serious work to do. Don't be so triendly

as to give them the idea you won't do what you have to do as the person ulumately in charge---a somewhat different matter from you as a human being and triend. Let them know that there are limitations on you, too ("I can't I have to insist " "I'd like to let you, but ") Let the children know what the limits and requirements on their behavior are and that it it becomes necessary to enforce the limits and requirements, you have the resources, the will, and the ability to do so. Say all this largely by your manner, not in words. which may take a little acting. For example, it gentleness doesn't work, you can speak a bit gruttly. Lead the children to believe that you're cool---even it you aren't -- and that you're going to keep cool no matter what happens (Indicate this, too, by your manner)

- 4 Find a means to catch the attention of the majority of the children, shouting if—but only it—you must
- 5 Aini for two things, in this order everyone in a seat, or standing still and looking at you, and everyone essentially quiet. Keep working insistently but calmly to achieve these two things, even though it seems 'o take an hour.
- 6 If things don't go as you would like, try cajoling giving very specific instructions, and calling on individuals ('You in the red sweater, please come over here now and sit down'')
- 7 It chaos persists, show slight impatience or slight irritation, but no more
- 8 Don't say anything untriendly or humiliating to anyone
- 9 Try to avoid threats, it you feel you must threaten, make your threat ambiguous ("I'd hate to have to get anyone into trouble," "None of you wants to be punished do you?" or "See me after school")
 - 10 Whatever happens, don't blow your



.17

cool. If you feel panicky, just freeze, say nothing for a while

- 11 If a situation gets dangerous, seek help
- 12 Be ready to move into the next phase—acting affirmatively—as soon as voil can

Promoting Affirmative Behavior that Complies with the Norms

As a class moves out of the chaos stage, or if it has avoided chaos entirely, the task the teacher faces immediately is to get the show on the road. Because this takes a little skill, enterprise, and perhaps courage, it can be a problem, especially for heginning teachers.

Until the students are engaged in something positive order has a tendency to disintegrate quickly, so the kind of measure most needed is one that is reliable and quick acting. If the measure has persistent effects, so much the hetter. It doesn't have to however, because once governance is functioning, other measures can pick up any slack. Costly measures, though should be avoided if possible.

Here are some suggestions principals may communicate to teachers

- 1 Keep those students who have settled down waiting for the others and wait to start your order, that is, until all the students are at their places and fairly quiet and attentive. Then move promptly and with an appearance of confidence into your briefing, your questions, or your instructions.
- 2. If the students are restless and you're not likely to get complete, order, and if the material or activities you're going to start with are likely to be quite appealing, go ahead as soon as about tour-fitths of the group has become attentive, simply leaving the others behind

- 3 Don't keep children waiting for your next move for more than a few seconds. Their attention span is short, and disorder can return
- 4 Talk to the class as a group in a business-like, clear, but friendly and polite way. You might practice it at home
- 5 Phrase instructions very clearly and make them affirmative rather than negative ("Please do this quickly," rather than "Don't dawdle"). Use familiar words ('answer" rather than "respond to"), but be prepared for some of the children not to understand or react as you want them to
- 6 Don't call the students "boys and girls" or "class," both of which they usually hate. They love "ladies and gentlemen"
- 7 Keep an eye out for risk points, such as desks jammed too close together, a student who doesn't have a copy of the book, or friends starting to joke
- 8 Where vou detect restlessness, keep watch on it. Perhaps walk over toward the possible trouble spot, but appear to ignore it if you can most elementary school children love attention enough to stop cutting up if that's the only way to obtain recognition.
- 9 Plan ahead of time for this stage, overplan, have interesting, intriguing things in mind to ask the kids or to invite them to discuss or do (rather than to do for them)
- 10 Keep expressing satisfaction with the progress ("Everybody have the book open at page 4? Good!"). Compliment individuals, without encouraging competition. Don't worry at this stage about formal achievement.
- 11. Until the affairs of the class are running smoothly, give them priority as much as you can over the personal affairs of one or two individuals who ask for help or attention. Tell the child who has a private request or question to wait until you have time for him.

.18

12 Just as soon as you can, break up the class into groups—the smaller, the better—and get students working individually or cooperatively for the bulk of the day's activities. Don't try to teach the whole class all at once much of the time.

Dealing with Routine or Petty Disorder

The most common complaints from elementary school teachers about their students' behavior are that they talk out when they're supposed to be guiet and walk or run around the room when they're supposed to be in their seats. Even when things are orderly enough not to be characterized as chaotic, children still interrupt, make wisecracks, laugh uproarrously, punch one another, engage in little fights, and throw things. Many beginning teachers and quite a number of experienced teachers face this problem. It is particularly likely to occur in grades four through six and at times when a teacher is trying to teach the whole class all at once, especially if the material is boring. It is likely to occur, too, if the teacher gets preoccupied with something that the class isn't involved in, like working at his or her desk alone, helping one youngster with a special problem, or responding to an interruption from outside

In situations like these, it may not be of high importance to take any measure at all. Things can often rock along for a while however uncomfortably for the teacher, without much harm being done. Because the problem is not really a grave one, the costs of various measures and their possible side effects should be weighed quite seriously. Here are some suggestions that may help teachers.

1 Don't blame either the kids or yourself personally for routine or petty disorder, it's

the most natural thing in the world

- 2 Work hard at first to distinguish you, cool, professional posture, in which you necessarily represent the school and its demands, from the friendly, humorous personal posture, to which you revert when you're not engaged in specific school business, and which you will eventually be able to fall into all day long. When you deal with children in other than a formal relationship, try to be as good a friend as you can
- 3 Develop fun things you can show your students how to do and involve them in doing, if necessary, forget about academic learning tor scienal weeks.
- 4 When you want quiet, ask for it by shushing, by words, or by some signal, but the mornent you've got it, get on with saying or doing something that will capture the children's active interest and make their being quiet worth the sacrifice to them
- 5 Appear to ignore behavior that is not dangerous or injurious. If you want to discourage a particular behavior, avoid rewarding it by paying attention to it. (Note that when you regularly overlook a certain kind of behavior you don't like, you in fact turn the norm into a less important one.)
- 6 If a child who is cutting up is a leader and his or her disorderliness is getting a lot of attention from other istudents, be neither unfriendly nor friendly toward him. Try standing near him, perhaps with a hand on his shoulder, or seating him where he is less visible and less able to interact with other children. Better yet, if it will work, put him to work on a chore Distract the other children's attention from him as much as you can
- 7 Try to act as if to say there are important, exciting things for the quieter students to do and rewards for them, including your attention



- and approval and perhaps even tangible rewards so the restless ones will do well to join them.
- 8 Control any temptation you may feel to yell at the class, except perhaps a single command like. Outer, or Stop that. Try to preserve the good-will rating with which you probably started out.
- 9 Praise and compliment students constantly actirst. It this doesn't work try a token reward system using points credits, commendations trading stamps nutritional snacks, free time privileges, and other rewards.
- 10. It some children are particularly bothersome, try to get to know them personally. Talk with them outside of office conferences or class, for example at funch or at recess. Pfay games with them, visit them, and their families at home.
- IT Its having a time-out place 'a rug a circle on the floor, a booth against a wall) here a particularly restless or disruptive kid can be put for a while. Treat such a situation not as punishment but as a necessary, therapeutic time-out.
- 12. Never issue an ultimatum, that is, an explicit threat that it X happens or doesn't happen you will definitely do, Y
- 13 Iry to control any temptation you may have to threaten at all but when you teel you must put your threat in the form of an ambiguous warning like. There's trouble down that road Jim or Much more of that and I may have to do something you wouldn't like at all.
- 14. Work out an exchange plan with other teachers that will allow you to put such children in one another's rooms for thirty—nutes or so when necessary
- 15. It you teel you must stop a student's misbehavior and can think of nothing else but punishment that might do it choose a mild

- punishment that will be over soon, such as a reprimand, an expression of disappointment or disgust, telling the student you'll talk to him later, keeping him in from recess for two minutes, keeping him after school for ten, or withdrawing a privilege for no more than a day. Announce the punishment to him in a quiet voice, without so much publicity as to embarrass him unnecessarily, and with as much appearance of regret—rather than glee—as you can
- 16 Be consistent Students don't know what to expect if the teacher enforces a rule one day and ignores it the next
- 17. Never punish a group for the actions of a tew. Such behavior can only lead to resentment on the part of students.
- 18 Don't be afraid to apologize to a student it you punish him unjustly. Apologizing tor a mistake is a sign of sfrength, not of weakness.
- 19 It a child gets impossibly rambunctious, and if you think the principal is a humane person who is sympathetic and understanding with children send or take him to the principal. Don't make the trip seem like a punishment, but say something like this "We can't work this out, so let's see it you and the principal can." Under the same conditions, letting a child go voluntarily to the counselor is an alternative.
- 20. As soon as you can get the class involved in drawing up rules for their behavior, subject to your final approval, reserve the right to include some rules of your own. Insist that the list be realistic, and for younger students (perhaps through fifth grade), ost the rules conspicuously.
- 21 Discuss with the class the ways governance tasks can best be dealt with and adopt some procedures together. Be sure they are



51

doing this themselves and not just secondguessing you or being manipulated by you

Talking with a teacher, listening to him, and making suggestions is perhaps, as has been said, the most effective way for a principal to help. How effective such conversations are depends heavily, of course, on how successfully the principal builds a mutually trusting and security-giving relationship with the teacher. Understanding teachers, questions and apprehensions about governance, and keeping in mind their situations as they experience them, as well as their hopes and tears, so far as these can be guessed, is not always easy Here are some notes on the states of mind most likely to characterize beginning and experienced teachers, as they bear on the process of giving them help with discipline

BEGINNING TEACHERS WITH DISCIPLINE PROBLEMS

People often go into teaching to be able to deal with children warmly and lovingly. They have not thought of themselves as regulators of behavior or as catalysts to get students to learn the habit of cooperative self-government Nor does the idea appeal to them. More often than not they have been nourished on a love ideology ('Be nice to the kids and meet their needs, and all will go well'), an ideology so attractive to them that they fight any evidence that it isn't adequate. Perhaps because, as students, they have only recently lived through a guerrilla war with teachers, the last thing they want to do is play a teacherly role. If their students respond to them as enemies rather than triends, they are personally hurt. For some this is the first time in years that they have been subjected to personal attacks

("Drop dead") Not caring at first to try to govern children's behavior, when they decide they must, they lack the necessary skills and find themselves angry with their students—and then angry with themselves for being angry

Often the beginner's first assignment requires him to work with children unlike any he has ever known before, children of a different social class or ethnic group. When this is so, his disciplinary problem is likely to be worse.

Eventually he must, albeit reluctantly, abandon any feeling of moral superiority he may have started out with and turn to experienced faculty members for example and advice. As he does this, however, he is caught in a further emotional conflict, he sees himself slip from the younger generation into the older, joining ranks with the former enemy. He started out believing that governance is simply a matter of what kind of person one is. But the experiences he has gone through not only haven't clarified his view of the objective problem, but have entangled the whole business of discipline with his ego and feelings.

Here are some suggestions for principals who contront problems such as these

- 1 Be patient, it may take new teachers a year or more to learn how to handle discipline problems. Resist the temptation to try to make them operate differently right away. It you rush them, you may inadvertently turn them into old-line autocrats.
- 2 Let these teachers know--gently that they have a problem and that you know it *
- 3 Open up frequent opportunities for intormal, relaxed talks with them—maybe over a cup of coffee, maybe in the parking lot after school
- 4 Be friendly, sympathetic, and emotionally supportive, not reproachful. Let these teachers



know that every teacher worth his salt experiences some failures. Seek out things to compliment them about

- 5 Invite beginners who are having trouble to use you as a resource, to call you in, or to send children to you. On occasion, if they like the idea, take over their classes. (In English elementary schools this is a common practice and seems to work well.) You can be a good crutch for beginning teachers to lean on while they're learning how to walk.
- 6 It you have any training in nondirective counseling, try to help the beginner identify emotional hang-ups that may interfere with his dealing with the problem. As well as not knowing much about the governance task, many beginners still have adolescent antiauthority teelings and identify unconsciously with rebellious students.
- 7 Try to avoid telling a teacher what he should do, but suggest many possibilities for him to consider
- 8 Try to help out the teacher with a specific problem or a particular student
- 9 Try to cut down the pressures and trustrations in the beginning teacher's life
- 10 Do almost anything you can to keep experienced teachers from putting pressure on the beginners. Encourage the experienced teachers to be patient, sympathetic, supportive, and helpful toward their beginning colleagues.
- 11 Arrange for the beginner to visit the classes of, or to team teach with, other teachers who might help—teachers whom you choose for their skill and with whom the beginner says he feels rapport
- 12 Arrange for inservice education on discipline, anything from recommending readings to a full-dress workshop on contingent reinforcement techniques

EXPERIENCED TEACHERS WITH DISCIPLINE PROBLEMS

Experienced teachers who have problems with governance often have extricated themselves from their early difficulties by developing restrictive and punitive habits. By dint of continuous effort, they are able to preserve from day to day an uneasy order, probably at the cost of arousing deep resentment and sowing seeds of later rebellion, not to mention producing injurious educational side effects. Partly because their training gave them so little in the way of professional skills, and their school districts give them so little in the way of resources for governing, most experienced teachers have come to rely heavily on influence measures that are very personal --- smiles, glares, and the bestowing and withdrawing of affection or contempt. They have engaged their personal emotions so deeply in keeping order that their self-respect has come to hang on their success or failure, and they have come to view disciplinary offenses as disrespect or personal attack. They have never learned to see the tasks of governance as objective, protessional tasks, which can be explored calmly and without defensiveness. Some have, in fact, fallen into an all-or-nothing state of mind. They fear that it students get away with a single violation, the whole structure of law and order in the classroom or school will collapse "Either you have the children's respect or you don't," they may say. "Either you have control or you don't ". Their view of their power as monolithic adds to their fears

It is understandable that when another person raises questions about their disciplinary practices, many experienced teachers show evidence of feeling emotionally threatened Probably because the measures they now use

Fiz

are those with which they rescued themselves trom their unpleasant early experiences (and they are never quite sure they may not fall back into that snake pit), they tend to cling to them and to be very reluctant to discard them or try other approaches. They often openly show their displeasure over beginning teachers' attempts to be more liberal or less punitive. This experience of a young fitth-grade teacher is a common one. "I feel I handle my class in such a way that most discipline problems don't crop up. But it does seem that faculty meetings and lounge controntations always end up with someone telling me that one of my kids did such-and-such."

Experienced teachers tend to be particularly cautious about revealing their disciplinary tailures or perplexities to their colleagues or to the principal. They seem to put up a front to one another, with the remarkable result (revealed in research studies) that each tends to think the other stricter and tougher than he really is. So each tends to conceal his own disciplinary problems or his more lenient views from the other and, since he usually attaches great importance to being in the principal's good graces, from the principal, too. Compared to other professions, in fact, feaching has been shown to be an unusually lonely one, and that loneliness must be particularly paintul for those who have chosen teaching because of their love of people 2

For many teachers, concealing their perplexities leads to denying that they exist. Although experienced teachers complain about discipline problems a great deal, their complaints are usually not about problems concerning their own professional practice, but about the difficulties they have to cope with Starting off in a workshop or course on governance, experienced teachers usually want to

talk at length about the objectionable behavior and poor tamily backgrounds of their children, but not about new or different approaches they themselves might take

Here are some suggestions for principals who want to see a change in the behavior of overly authoritarian teachers

- 1 Be patient. It may take a couple of years for these teachers to change, and a few never can. Resist the temptation to try to make them change, which will probably just make them more rigid.
- 2 Let these teachers know—gently, even casually but clearly—that for professional reasons you yourself tavor more freedom for students and influence measures that are more educational, and that you are willing to put up with the resulting increase in noise and disorder.
- 3 Try to identify tears and points of frustration and irritation in these teachers' disciplinary world (for example, "Kids are insolent" or "Parents don't train kids to respect authority") and use them as the starting points for informal or formal inservice education in regard to discipline and freedom
- 4 Try to convince these teachers that tight control of children's lives prevents them from developing self-reliance and self-control and that there can still be law and order even it school norms become more liberal, less punitive influence measures are used, and students are involved more in classroom and school governance.

BEGINNERS AND THE EXPERIENCED

It holds true for both beginners and experienced teachers that they often fear that they are unable to keep order, fear disorderly stu-



dents themselves for the trouble they can cause, and fear the harsh judgments of their colleagues and principals

Because tear breeds detensiveness and, in turn, aggressiveness toward students, many teachers are caught in a vicious circle. However, because so many of the more effective influence measures depend on the teacher's having a high good-will rating or the ability to communicate intimately with students, a teacher who is uptight about discipline cannot be very effective at it. This makes the process of extricating himself from the vicious circle particularly hard. Most important, the teacher is incapable of looking at the matter calmly and openly as simply another and particularly challenging aspect of playing the professional role of teacher.

Cenerally, then, the most useful single thing one can do for a teacher who has discipline problems of any kind is to help him relax about them, let him blow off steam, and give him quiet, friendly, emotional support, withholding-tor a while at least-any judgment on his performance. When the teacher seems relaxed enough to be open to a serious look at any aspect of his problem, the best point at which to start is the particular point, central or peripheral, that seems most sore to him Another approach is to tell the teacher some things that may help him let him know that almost everyone has disciplinary problems, that no one will be upset it he doesn't master his right away, that the principal's standards and those of other teachers are not as restrictive as he thinks. Ordinarily, the worst thing a principal can do is to reproach such a teacher or moralize to him about his beliefs or practices

Particularly in the case of beginning teachers, relieving pressures on them and giving

them time is essential if one wants to improve their chances of learning how to keep order by positive means and avoid their falling into the addictive habit of keeping it by restrictive and punitive means

One can also help teachers by giving them substantive information they don't have about the students, the school's customs, the regulations and laws that apply to them and to students, and the resources at their disposal. One can help them learn and think analytically about norms and influence measures as such, which may immunize them against the all-or-nothing mentality of some experienced teachers.

If one informs teachers about how many kinds of influence measures there are, they will have more strings to their bow and not be so worried it one should break. And if they recognize the realistic possibilities and limitations, they may be more able to say to students, as skilled teachers often do, "I can't make you do such-and-such but I can do thus-and-so". It may be a great service to help a teacher see the advantage of being this honest with children—and to help him learn how to be

One may help the teacher analyze specific situations. What is the highest priority need in this particular case? What kind of efficacy is most important? What kinds of power does the teacher have, and what kind is he using? What does he do that increases or decreases his power? Do the norms need revising? It is an art to be honest with a nervous teacher about such matters without making him more nervous.

One may be able to help the teacher develop new options or approaches. Perhaps one can suggest that he take more—or less—time in class for governance matters. Perhaps one

F.1

E,E

merely needs to tell him to speak fouder, or to use the imperative mood, or to do more commending, praising, or ignoring. Perhaps he needs to be taught how to teach better or needs to be told to teach something different Perhaps one can help him balance the effort he puts into remedial measures with more effort on prophylactic ones. If all goes well, one can help the teacher develop an effective style that is consistent with good professional practice vet suits his own personality, a style that can become, to a considerable degree, intuitive. Principals have some special handicaps that go along with their helping role, however, as well as some special resources

GIVING EMOTIONAL SUPPORT

Almost invariably, a principal is an emotional threat to his or her teachers. This is so not only because he is their administrative superordinate and has formal, bureaucratic powers over them, but also, usually, because he or she has many subtle powers over them that may be easily used or abused. Since teaching is a lonely profession, and since teachers are usually as aware of the nearby presence of the principal as they are of anyone else in the building, many teachers depend emotionally on their principals' personal tavor—no one wants the teeling of being in the doghouse. This favor a principal may easily withhold or withdraw, perhaps unconsciously, but nonetheless with effect

Principals have the power to do little things that can make teachers' lives more comfortable or less so, such as requiring the advance filing of Jesson plans, observing, criticizing, intruding into teachers' spheres, and obtaining (or not obtaining) clerical services, supplies, or funds that teachers want. Principals, usually pretty much on their own, make up teachers' assignments, including assignments to special duties, and hand out prerogatives and small privileges, like permission to leave school early. They recommend to their higher-ups the renewal or nonrenewal of contracts, the grooming of certain teachers for advancement, or the transferring of teachers to other schools, preferred ones and less desirable ones

Finally, and often most important, principals are in a position to back teachers up or not back them up in any conflicts they may have with students, parents, or others. This is a matter to which teachers attach great importance

How can principals, who themselves constitute an emotional threat to teachers, meet the urgent need to relieve the emotional pressures on teachers? They can do so partly by keeping hands off, by avoiding anything that will make teachers feel emotionally more insecure, ashamed, embarrassed, or guilty, and partly by giving emotional support--compliments, praise and encouragement are among the principal's most useful tools. Where formal classroom observation may make a situation worse informal stopping by to help can provide support. Patiently tolerating a lot of disorder will relieve the pressure when a teacher is wrestling with the problem of keeping order, or when the teacher still has to learn that he must wrestle with it

One principal, having ordered an end to corporal punishment and thereby panicking the teachers, simply invited the teachers to send their behavior problems to the office During a period in which the teachers provided her with a lot of business- most of it unnecessary—they developed new norms and new compliance approaches. She had helped





them to now professionally apporting them during the process

It may make all the difference to the effectiveness of a prepeople teacher conference at it can take place casually in an informal setting perhaps of er lunch for at less perfor the bareaucratic environment of the school office. The furniture and decor of the office by the way can either advertise the principal's status and make him more learsome to visitors or can selfcome visitors as social equals and help them relax.

Where principals themselves are under pressive to put pressure in turn on teachers, sharing this fact with the teachers may build multially sympathetic, we re in the same boat teelings.

Best of all potribly a principal can help teachers emotionally by letting them get to know him as a person. He can come out from behind the Mr for Ms saying that fitte for larger meetings where formal roles must be played. Principals can let teachers see them wrestling with their own doubts, their own problems and mistakes. They can disagree argue and retreat openly banter with teachers and students wear informal clothes and in general set aside their official status aird relate warmly to people.

One thing that principals however well trained, cannot do for teachers in their own schools is to give them real psychotherapy. An administrative superordinate and his subordinate simply cannot establish the necessary professional-client relationship.

OTHER WAYS OF HELPING

There are other effective ways for principals to help teachers

It may be that the principal himself should

not or for some reason cannot give a teacher the various kinds of support and suggestions that have been discussed. This is one of many reasons why principals would do cell to consider obtaining help from other teachers for teachers who need to talk about their concerns. In most school start, there is hardly any constructive lateral communication about processional matters. By facilitating and promoting such communication, a principal can get the faculty helping one another. To everyone sprofit

Because he has access to the faculty and inevitably has power over it a principal is in a good position to influence teachers to reduce their pressure on colleagues. When a well-respected principal makes it clear that he wants other teachers to give Ms. Jones or Mr. Brown a break, he is likely to get his way. Principals can also protect the teachers from higher-ups.

It a teacher who needs help is secure enough the principal or a tellow teacher may equip himself to provide better assistance by visiting him in his classroom or elsewhere in the school when he's dealing with students An observer can make notes, mental or written, on what the students do or don't do what the teacher does or doesn't do, and how the students respond Possibly he can make an audioor a videotape of what goes on which will attord the teacher valuable perspective. Because they have access to the whole staff, principals are often the best people to arrange for one teacher to visit another's classes, for more skilled and better respected teachers to conduct inservice sessions on a one-to-one basis or in discussion or stady groups, termally or informally and for the faculty to meet and share teelings and ideas a process that should otten go on with an administrator present

Where misinformation or poor communica-



tion is a problem, properties can perfect hers and students to work drawn reposition and statements of students, obligations, and rights

Principals can use their principal to set to king assignments of both teachers at this dents, to help teachers when the history to a ble. A principal can take participant dence, the children off a teacher's hands of their at his the classroom or elsewhere to anywhere to must schools they can arrange for starty more where rambunctious children is a his temperarily isolated.

Principals can protect to a become in a league parents and act as a go between Steel, parents are creatly bothered by disc poors, practices they view as baid into districtive town as or just untain to their own chordren. Without passing audigment or barrong his bridges a principal can give a teacher contact protection by saving something like this to prove to Normaly be right but Mallorine is the tracher and she has to use be rough best protection of a self-ment. It was there exist best protections as a left talk to be a your of the Ben at your results that to be a your of the ben at your results that the position of the should not course by the tracks.

Principals can suggest research to crosshelp teachers. They can be proportionally a to many tracks that is not a sufficient action as a protection of the same of

The second section of the control of

The growth words of the solution of the soluti

NOTES

Turk high with still dents When There Has Been Trouble





who has cross problems with a teacher is not aken to take no tranks in the teacher's presence

Who a the principal uses the cisit as an occaaction of their way conference of one involvncy still more people, he tends naturally and appropriately to tall into the role of chairman a moderator. It is he who sees that everyone set to as his piece, who helps each person and is tred, what the others real concern is our who most likely propose, any next steps in a term agestions. At the end of the conticipe he has charge role, and become the training of Contention of this kind take time in a patient or but they give the principal an appear in the lear with the student in a way are not worth to be a with the student in a way are not worth to be and to the teacher

some principal provide space in or near tractions of a constraint of their classroom as a traction of a constraint of their classroom as a traction, as a constraint of the constraint of as a traction of a constraint of as a traction of a constraint of a

And the second of the second o

e de la companya de la co

en de la companya de la co regular functions involve the staff in handling this function as satisfactorily as possible

- 2. Ity to arrange your office so that someone sent to see you is not casify visible to a passerby or even, it this can be worked out, to other visitors to the office.
- 3 Make the physical setting for conferences as informal and unthreatening as possible. Sit at a cottee table, perhaps. Often it's best especially if the teacher is included in the conference, to meet in a less imposing atmosphere than the office- possibly outdoors or in the cateteria.
- 4. Don't try to give a troubled child psychotherapy that goes any deeper than sympathetically helping him to think about whether his behavior is producing results that he really finds satisfactory and, it not what alternatives he might be able, and might want, to adopt
- 5. It you are meeting alone with a student who has been charged with an offense and denses it hear him out. Let him know that you have reason to suspect he's guilty but that you are still open-minded on the subject, since trachers and even principals make mistakes. The to understand what he says from his point that was you would want your position understand. It the teacher and the child are in on the
- on the teacher and the child are in on the contenence try to create the kind of atmosphere or grested in such an opening statement at this. We visual three of us got a serious professor and we have to find a solution that all work and will be fur to everybody. We're all sees the people and most likely well suched to a treasance mendly businesslike approach to both the child and the teacher, at the anadge uses the same approach to proceed of attorney and detendant.
- It the teacher or the student becomes emotional stay calm. Don't show your teel-

ings be patient don! attack or detend, when there is a moment of calm, try to suggest something constructive

8 It the child is suspected or accused of an offense that is not serious and there is a question about his guilt, you may want to schedule a conference for later and let him go back to his room. If the charge is serious, you may want to keep him on ice ifor example, cooling his heels in the office or in a study hall or time-out room, until something further can be done.

9 It if develops that the child has violated a rule or requirement because he thinks it is untain or stupid, try to explain to him that no matter what its merits are, so long as the rule is in effect you and the teacher have no choice but to see that it is obeyed. If there is any case to be made against it, invite the student to open up the question and tell him how to do so.

10. It it is definite that the student has committed an offense, don't reproach nim for doing what he dal but emphasize that you can't tolerate further such behavior. Ask him to come up with his own plan for avoiding a repetition unless he wants you to come up with one.

11. Be as open as you possibly can about the child's suggestions. If he suggests that you pardon him don't rule out giving it a try, if he suggests doing away right then and there with the rule he broke or transferring him to an other class, that just *might* be the ideal solution. Specific ways of dealing with serious offens, wall be discussed in a later chapter.

12. Make a real effort 46 come up with a plan of action acceptable to the child. It you can't fell him that right or wrong this is simply the way it's going to have to be at least for now.

TALKING WITH A STUDENT ALONE

Talking with a student alone, whether it is done by the principal, a teacher, or possibly an understanding fellow student, may be on the whole the most useful single disciplinary measure that can be taken. This section discusses some of the approaches likely to be effective in such a talk, whether it is a formal conference or a chat initiated casually by one or another of the people involved. What is said here is directed primarily to principals, but a should be helpful to teachers, too. Much of it applies, too, to talking with students in groups, which is perhaps the second most useful approach to discipline.

It is through talking that most of the procedures that change children's views of the situations they confront can be put to work with the greatest effect—feaching about possibilities, pointing to future benefits, stimulating children to think, quasi-psychotherapy, and discussions of right and wrong. And, for practical reasons, these procedures are somewhat more likely to function in a private talk than in a group discussion.

1.1

We often speak of 'talking to'' a student by which we probably mean moralizing, scolding or reprimanding. Whether or not they are so intended these kinds of talk are verbal punishments. The kind of talk meant here is talking with the child in other words, two-way dialogue.

When a principal or teacher engages in a dialogue with a student about a matter of behavior he plays two roles, that of a law enforcer and that of an educator-helper who has set aside his authority for the moment and is trying to help the student figure out a way he can five satisfactorily in the world of law and order. Neither role is always easy, and



because they tend to interfere with one another, combining them is hard. Yet so far as the situation calls for both roles, the school official must play both. If he refuses to play the first, he is derelict in his governance duty, if he refuses to play the second, he is abdicating his role as an educator.

Both principals and teachers are under so much more pressure to keep order than to educate that the danger of failing to meet one's governance obligations is usually small compared to the danger of failing to be an educator-helper. In any case, the double responsibility requires one to gravitate back and torth between the two roles.

The success of a conference depends heavily of course, on honest communication from child to principal or teacher. Since this can take place only in the child's own language. the adult can get candid communication only to the extent that he tolerates that language Also, since communication will take place only insofar as it is rewarded, the adult can enhance its quality and quantity by showing an interest in, or appreciation of, the whole communication, regardless of its content. This may be haid. One must put aside for a few minutes one's feelings of obligation to brand evil as exil and to teach what's right or wrong and, instead appear to accept fully language, views, or statements one might find repugnant

Conversely, communication will not happen it any distress that the principal or teacher teels about the content of the communication is allowed to become too closely identified with the communication itself. The listener may torestall this possibility by explicitly commending the act of communicating itself, while deploring the content. For instance, he can say, 'It you feel that way, I'm awfully glad you told me' or. Well you've got yourself into a herk

of a mess, haven't you, but I admire your courage for telling me about it." Or he can receive, the communication impassively, hold back his negative response, wait a few hours before responding to the content, and then begin by saying, "I've been thinking about what you said to me this morning."

It is always possible in a confidential talk that communication will go so well that the principal or teacher learns some secrets the child doesn't expect to have passed on. Such a situation may arouse the law entorcer role. To keep the conference fair and honest, theretore, it is a good idea, before the child gets himself in too deep, to warn him which kinds of secrets the school official is not at liberty to keep. "Before you say any more, I should warn you that if you tell me anything that has to do with drugs, I can't promise to keep it a secret."

It the student is violently defiant or rude, it is most effective to show no anger but intimate that the matter will have to be dealt with later (One may have to make several attempts betore one gets a dialogue going, occasionally, it's impossible for someone who isn't a trained therapist to do so.) When a student behaves this way, it may help to keep reminding oneselt that such behavior is almost always a defensive symptom of a person who is basically very frightened.

To sum up, then, communication is likely to a work best when one manages to listen with the appearance of patience and sympathy, postponing all expression of disapproval or shock

With communication working, the next requirement of an effective conference, as has been suggested earlier, is that the principal or teacher come to understand how the situation or event looks from the student's point of view and how it feels to him. A good test of whether



one has accomplished this is whether one can say back to the student successfully "Then, as you see it, things are this way...." which is harder than it sounds. Another test is to be able to say to oneself honestly that, if one were in the student's shoes, one might feel and do the same as he

This sort of approach achieves two things. It tells the student that the principal or teacher is interested in helping him, not in reproaching, shaming, or humiliating him. Thus, it opens his mind to the questions, information, or suggestions that the principal or teacher advances. And, because it teaches the adult how the student sees the situation, it equips him to choose the questions he might ask or comments he might make that would modify that perception and thus modify the student's behavior.

If the school official avoids any temptation to fall into the other error—seeming to excuse unacceptable behavior -he can communicate to the student honestly what must be changed about his behavior. Separating out the behavior, which the principal or teacher of course rejects, from the student himself, whom he accepts ("That certainly was your worst side that got the better of you, Stan, wasn't it?") can strengthen the student's self-respect, that is, his belief that there is nothing basically wrong about him or his most fundamental goals and that he has the ability to find new ways of pursuing them. The student must recognize this if he is to become intelligently self-directing. Making the needed changes may be difficult for him, especially it those changes are major. It will be most helpful if the principal or teacher makes it clear that the choice is not simply between continuing in his old ways and straightening out all at once, he has the alternative of modifying his behavior bit by bit. The school official may have to accept this last alternative for it is probably the best the student can do to improve. It has been shown to be very helpful, even with hardened delinquents, to ask each one to make a small commitment and, when he has made it, to do what one can both to help him keep it and to insist that he do so. This is what it means to build self-discipline. Since the influence procedures involved are inclined to be slow acting, it may take many conferences, when these procedures produce effects, however, the effects are persistent.

The best format for a conference depends on the circumstances. Most often the child is anxious and tearful (or he is sullen and defiant, which suggests that he is fearful), and he is almost invariably so when the conference is with the principal. In these cases, as was suggested above an informal setting, even a casual one, is likely to be the best. Much good work has been done in a seemingly offhand way. A principal "happens" to be standing near the child on the playground, and after talking about what's going on in the game they're watching, he changes the subject with some such remark as, "Say, by the way, didn't Mr Jones tell me that you and he had a little trouble the other day?"

An article in the National Elementary Principal some time ago gave some useful specific suggestions, almost a scenario---tor a more tormal, "sympathetic, nonjudgmental, and objective approach. Among the suggestions were the following.

The guiding principle in conducting the interview should be the child is better than his act. It is vital that the child teel that it is the unfortunate behavior which is being reprimanded and not be as an individual.

Throughout the interview, the incident of mis-

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

behavior should be viewed as a matual problem to be solved and worked out between the youngster and the principal

Structure the interview so the pupil can assume some portion of the responsibility for the conduct of the interview. The pupil's participation has two beneficial results at stimulates self-evaluation and limits negative reactions.

The principal may present to the student tor exploration possible alternate courses of action 2

With older students who have lived in tough circumstances and have learned to be tough themselves, a very straightforward approach may work best, with the principal or teacher arguing back, even shouting back, just as the students expect their best friends to do

In the rare case that a student is really happy-go-lucky about the trouble he causes or gets himself into it may take a formal controllation in the principal's office with the principal sitting behind his desk and the student standing, to get across to him how serious the situation has become. But this probably won't work it its effect is so great as to infimite date the student.

The question whether or not one should explain to children the reasons for the norms and requirements they are asked to live up to is often argued. While it is sometimes dangerous to do this with a large restless group several children may get the idea that there is enough dissidence to warrant a revolution—in

a race-to-face talk with a single child, explaining can only be beneficial. If the explainer is clear in his mind about his own view of the requirement's purpose and is honest about it with the child, the explanation itself will almost certainly be effective as an influence measure. If the explainer regards the requirement as unnecessary, he can try explaining the rule itself. "Mr. X, who made the rule, probably thought it would help to " Or he can explain why he has to enforce it. Took, I'm not saying that this is a good rule, I'm saying the school board made it, and I have to see that you follow it." Or he might change the requirement then and there "OK, if you can think of a way to straighten this out without doing what I've told you to do, it'll be line with me. 1 If the student comes up with Monvincing argument against the requirement, the principal or teacher is likely to gain more than he loses by giving in 'OK, you're right," he can say "That's using your head. Forget what I said before. It's good to have someone like you around to straighten me out sometimes!"

NOTES

1 William Glasser Schools Without Ladure New York Harper and Row 1968

2 Robert J. Baldagi and Wilbin O. Carthey, When Johnny Is Sent to the Office. National Hemicitary Principal 42 (November 1962), 57-58.



Dealing with Major Offenses



In most elementary schools, serious oftenses rarely occur. But in any school they may and in some they are, untortunately, common Carrying weapons, extortion, theff, use of hard drugs, and arson are facts of life. Serious property damage, serious defiance or rudeness, obscene language under some conditions, and unauthorized leaving of the room or the school fall into the same category.

The main governance reason for responding to a serious offense is to see that it comes to an end and is not repeated. In the case of theft or property damage, school authorities also have an obligation to try to arrange for restoration or reparation. Usually such offenses call for measures that are high in reliability, and some call for measures that are quick acting Persistence of effect is desirable, but it is not of the highest priority. Paying a considerable cost for effectiveness is justified and, depending partly on the seriousness of the offense,

damaging side effects may have to be accepted. Here are some suggestions for both teachers and principals.

 1 When the offense entails a continuing danger (for example, brandishing a weapon), move tast to end it, getting help it it seems useful

2 In a case of theft, put a high priority on getting the stolen object out of the thief's hands- even at the cost of not identifying him.

3 Ignore or overlook a serious oftense only rarely, and only if you decide that on balance it's best—for instance, if you can't figure out a way to solve a crime or if you re willing for the norm that has been violated to become a less important one.

4. If you don't know who committed an oftense, don't accuse anyone until you have evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that he's guilty of it, remember that you're not only an

EFY



investigator but also, very likely judge and jury

- 5 Collect evidence by subtle nonaccusing questions when you can
- 6. Never encourage one student to tell on another except when there is danger of injury or when the rule is one that students themselves have instituted or, by sincerely ratifying it, have made their own
- 7 Solve a crime it you can, but remember, you don't have to lots of school offenses go unsolved yet life goes on
- 8 When the classroom or school community will profit from an offender admitting to you that he committed the offense, invite, encourage, or even urge him to do so and then reward him. Don't extort contessions for the purpose of justifying a punishment or for use in a court of law. They re inadmissible
- 9 It a child seems to have challenged you by obeying only in part tocus attention on what he has done, commend him for it explicitly or implicitly, and make it rewarding for him, avoid making a big issue out of what he still hasn't done. It you have teelings about disrespect for your authority, keep them hidden. In that way you will probably find it easier to get him to do the other part, or you may find you can settle for the part he's already done.
- 10. It something a child does, such as hurting someone or spoiling something beautiful, angers you, let your anger show, but keep it from turning into personal anger or yindictiveness directed against him. Play your authoritative role coolly. That's a terrible thing to do, Mary. We just can't have that '
- IT Remember that you don't have to complete the process of dealing with an offense right away. Often it's best to delix, keeping the incident alive in the offender's mind (by

making an appointment for a conference later, for example) but allowing yourself time and leisure to think things over quietly and perhaps to get advice.

- 12 Use private conferences as your basic tool for dealing with serious offenses, confer over and over again, don't give up
- 13 It a whole group has been somehow involved in an offense or has been affected by it, talk with the group about it, draw out their teelings, share yours with them, and engage them as tar as possible in the resultant planning and action
- 15 If the norm involved is an important one and you think you can show the offender and the other students) how important it is only by punishing him, do so. Make the punishment tedious rather than paintul, and fairly short-lived. The best punishments are probably the withdrawal of privileges and the assignment of temporary, useful chores that can be performed in private. (Think of recess and extracurricular activities not as privileges but as rights you shouldn't intringe on.)
- 16. Never hit a child except when he's in the middle of hitting someone else and hitting him is the only way to stop him (which is almost never).
- 17 Consider the possibility that the best measure is to do nothing or perhaps merely to let the offender know that you are aware of the offense and perhaps that you know who committed it
 - 18. If there are explicit regulations as to



what you're supposed to do, obey them unless you're absolutely convinced you must do otherwise and are willing to face any possible consequences.

- only it the counselor functions as an assistant administrator or a disciplinarian. If he functions as a therapeutic counselor, do no more than invite or possibly urge the student to go to the counselor on his own.
- 20. After any serious incident jot down a private, confidential, anecdotal record of what happened and what you did let the student concerned know what you put into it.
- 21 In a very serious or dangerous case, consider a nonpunitive suspension, which means asking the offender to go home or sending him home for the rest of the day or for two or three days, if this is permissible.
- 22. In a case so serious that a reterral outside the school seems advisable, make such a reterral only with the knowledge and consent of the student's parents.

When a very grave disciplinary offense has occurred, or when a serious danger threatens that school personnel do not feel sure they can handle a principal may have to call the police. In one city, at least 20 percent of the calls to the school police unit come from elementary schools (many of them involving intruders, to be sure rather than students). There is little reason to involve the police in cases of minor violations of the law on the part of students. Isolated episodes of petty thett, ordinary tights, and the like can almost always be dealt with more satisfactorily by school authorities.

Because of the psychologically disruptive effect of a confrontation between a policeman and an elementary school suspect, such a con-

trontation should be avoided during school hours unless the matter is extremely urgent For the same reason, some school people believe that whenever policemen come to an elementary school, they should it possible be out of unitorm. Depending on the situation, this may be debatable. It may be that when a policeman comes to the school, the children are entitled to know it and to have a chance to discuss the event and its implications with one another, with teachers, with the principal, and perhaps with the policeman himself. However, barring a catastrophic emergency, when policemen are in a school, the principal should keep the control of the school in his own hands. If the situation is serious, he might do well to get authorization to send the children home. As long as a police officer is in the school building a school person should accompany him--particularly while he conducts any search or interrogation or makes an arrest

Although the law on the subject or school children's rights during police investigations is tar from crystallized, students are entitled to the same legal protection, up to a point, as older citizens.¹

Specialists seem to agree that school personnel should not turn confidential school pecords over to the police or allow, the police to examine them without a valid subpoena or signed instructions to that effect from the child's parents. While a principal himself has the right to search pockets, purses, or lockers when he has specific reason to suspect something is out of order, he would be wise to refuse to allow a policeman to conduct any search without a proper search warrant.

If there are reasons for a policeman to interview students during the school day to gather evidence, this may be done. Their parents should, of course, be informed as soon as



possible. The principal should be present at any interview, should make notes, and should prevent any brow-beating. It the student to be questioned is a suspect, or becomes one, the principal should retuse permission for an interrogation on school premises and should contact the parents as soon as possible. Because the student has the right not to incriminate himself, the outcome of any interrogation, carried out by the principal himself is inadmissible in court as evidence.

In dealing with major ottenses, one of the tasks the principal must accomplish is to decide which offenses he and his faculty will handle and which offenses are beyond their scope in the past, school people frequently have attempted to solve problems beyond their

competence. For example, teachers and principals are not law enforcement officials. Neither are they generally prepared to deal with children's deep emotional problems. In developing procedures for dealing with major offenses, then, school personnel should make some decisions regarding which problems require outside assistance in some form. Attempting to solve problems one is not equipped to deal with is not only unwise, but it can make the problems worse.

NOTE

1 See William G. Buss, Legal Aspects of Crime Investigation in the Public Schools, No. 4 in the NOLPE Monograph Series (Topeka National Organization on Legal Problems of Education, 1971)



Toward a Governance Program



A governance program begins and ends with human beings. Its success depends on how the people who administer the program – students, teachers, and principal—perceive each other. It there is mutual trust and respect among all the people in the school, the governance program has every chance of achieving its objectives. If trust and respect are absent, student governance will be a constant uphill struggle.

Children come to the elementary school from various backgrounds and experiences. They will have a variety of needs and desires, some common, many different. They will exhibit a potpourri of sizes, shapes, and hues. They are, in a sense, the givens in the elementary school. It is the task of the professional to work with the pupils at whatever stage of development, they might be, to develop the kind of atmosphere that will lead to a sound, effective governance program.

It is the responsibility of the professional to establish a school climate based upon trust and respect for each human being in the school This cannot be done through tricks or the learning of techniques, except at a very superficial, and eventually self-defeating, level. A successful governance program, then, begins first with a school staff who sincerely believes in people as human beings, who, it you will genuinely like kids. Not every teacher or principal employed in elementary schools today meets this test. Such persons, wherever they may be, are weak links in the governance of pupils. They are the people who are constantly seeking new tricks to control children or who so easily fall into authoritarian patterns of behavior and seek to master kids

Respecting and caring for children as human beings does not mean a syrupy, permissive kind of school atmosphere in which everything goes, and usually does. When one genuinely



cares for a human being, he wants to see him grow and to achieve all that he can. This means one has to guide that person, even to correct him when he is wrong. But one guides and corrects always with the knowledge and understanding that the other person is just that, a person, who has the inherent dignity of a human being and to whom one owes respect, regardless of the immediate situation.

The principal, and to some extent, all the teachers in the school, must seek colleagues who exhibit behaviorally the characteristics of people who like and want to work with kids. A professional staff who are interested in all children, both the good and the troublesome and who are sensitive to children's basic needs, regardless of their backgrounds, can provide an invaluable underpinning for the governance program.

A second consideration in the governance program is the legal toundation. The majority of court decisions in this area have dealt with cases in colleges and secondary schools. However, the principles laid down by the courts in those cases also apply to elementary schools. Every principal should be aware of the significant court decisions dealing with pupil governance.

Understandably, school personnel in recent years have become concerned over the number of court cases that students have won apparently at the expense of the schools. An objective analysis of those legal decisions would reveal that in many instances schools were extremely vulnerable on the kinds of rules they were attempting to enforce and the processes they were using to carry out the rules. School personnel should not tear reversal in court so long as they follow two basic principles. The first is that everyone is entitled to due process of law. That is each

person, regardless of circumstances, is entitled to fair play. Due process is not a static concept, it varies with specific conditions. Expulsion requires an elaborate procedure, a mild punishment for a minor oftense does not. The point to remember, the question to be asked in each case is, has the child been treated fairly?

A second principle is that underlying every rule should be a rationale related to the educational process. No one would argue that point. Still, schools have lost a significant number of court cases precisely because they could not respond to the question "why" when a rule was challenged. Courts, always reluctant to interfere with school authoriues, have not overturned school rules when there was a logical basis for them. This implies fewer rules, but rules that are really necessary. It also suggests a governance program easier to administer.

A third aspect of a governance program is the role of the principal. As suggested in an earlier chapter, the principal is a significant tigure in establishing the climate of the school The principal's attitude and, more important, his behavior, will have a great deal to do not only with the structure of the governance program, but also its effectiveness. How the principal himself conducts his relationships with taculty, youngsters, and parents will have an impact on the school's atmosphere. An administrator cannot realistically impose a democratic governance program. Neither can be expect one to develop it lie behaves in an authoritarian manner. In other words, a principal can only expect what he himself is willing to accept as a part of his own leadership style, expressed in behavioral terms

fourth it perhaps is axiomatic to state again that students can play a significant role in developing a governance program. Nevertheless, it is well worth repeating that today's



youngster's are much more able than vester-day's to assume responsibilities in this area. It is the school's task to provide children with those responsibilities commensurate with their maturity, always striving to encourage students to accept more. The more students are able to govern themselves, the less adults have to impose order.

Parents, too, have a part to play in the governance program. Schools need to communicate with parents in a variety of ways, and beyond traditional means, such as PTA meetings, in order to inform parents of the purposes of the governance program. Parents' advice and desires also can be used in the development of the piogram. In a real sense, the school can move only as far as its patrons understand and support its practices.

Finally, in an effective elementary school discipline program that fully respects children's interests and rights, the principal and the teachers—with the help of the students, the parents and others—establish rules for behavior on the basis of objective governance and educational considerations, taking into account, however, the extent of the staff's and the children's readiness

The principal and the teachers are not uptight about order and quiet, but aim for the objectively optimum ratios of freedom to order and noise to quiet. While the governance program is realistic for the students as they are, the school is always striving hard toward more liberal requirements for each individual student, up to the point where only absolutely necessary rules remain. The school is always pushing for greater involvement of the student with other students as a group in the school's governance, both in setting instrumental rules and requirements and selecting and taking measures for producing compliance.

Everyone in the school knows the existing rules and expectations and their relative importance, and the principal and the teachers work stubbornly to bring about compliance with every rule. The students are attorded substantial and continual opportunity in class meetings committees, councils, and the like to determine their own conduct, individually in some matters and collectively in others. Threats and punishments are seldom used, and when they are, they are of such a nature that they don't deeply engage the emotions of either the punisher or the student.

The principal keeps the somewhat contradictory roles he plays in proper balance. As a broker in ideas and communications, he works to get all members of the school engaged in a more or less continuous dialogue about the students' behavior, about how limits on that behavior can be broached, and about how students can come to be more tully involved in regulating their own behavior, either as tree individuals or as members of self-governing groups. He does this regardless of how good or bad the discipline in the school appears to be

The principal and the teachers try to be as open as possible with students, and the principal is as open as possible with the teachers about their personal views, biases doubts and hang-ups. The whole school experience is as engaging, challenging, rewarding, and egobuilding as possible, for both students and teachers.

The principal and the teachers ignore circumvent resist, or overrule pressures or limitations put on the school by the superintendent or the community that threaten either to intringe on the students rights or to restrict unduly freedom or their opportunity to learn. At the same time, they try to educate those out



The marketine of the property of the property todents behavior and about the prior or forth government of education

The first property the company of a second

Control of the transfer of the place the grant of a vitral to the fact of the grant of the grant frame. or treedom and the expent to the constraint of the constraint of the expension of the constraint of th and the second of the second of the second of the second



Fig. 1 & First

. .

the state of the state of



A CANADA STATE OF THE STATE OF

No. of Science Control of the Contro

 $\mathbf{x}_{i,j}$

- •

an 2 3 4 4

* 4

.

+ , . .

. .

, .

; ·

.



Appendix B. A Suggested List of Important Elemental Norms for a Public Elementary School



North Miller at the acres of

Notified a report of the state of the state

No the second

Some of the state of the state

A complete service of the service

And the second of the second o

Art production of the control of the

Andrew Control of the Control of the

The second secon

Control of the Contro

an actual radio to be during school hours.

step istoric to obe, the leading to order to great the easier of an inperior orders of the section

graph of the first make the straight of the part of th

and the second of the second o

the gas to leave to a service of the control of the

in the control of the second of the control of the

A Company of the Comp



Appendix C: A List of Basic Influence Procedures



- I Procedures that work primarily through changing the objective physical or social situation.
 - A Manipalata con car axis Sit down
 - B. Changing ispects of the strategy so a to charge appearings a to what options are open to the stadent eight unit to students read to tell him to a capable at putting appearing to a trace or more that capable are personal to the charge of the charge of
 - Confirmation of the authorization of the confirmation of the confi

- dent by force (e.g. pinning a turious student to the floor)
- D. Altering the situation so that the cost to the student of engaging in a behavior will be lower or higher.
 - 1 So that engaging in the behavior will be easier or barder for him excotter at 5 help a student pick up a mass he's supposed to pick up putting away something one doesn't want the student to play with
 - 2) So that the matural consequences of engaging in a behavior will be estimated in the formula engaging in a period of the formula engaging of the engaging of something your don't want or west the student to do.
- 1. A tenny the situation so that the mat and benefit to the student of engig



ing in a behavior will be higher or lower (e.g., confiscating a ball so that running around will be less tun, instituting a student government that makes coming to school more appealing)

- If Procedures that work more directly to produce a psychological change in the student himself
 - A Doing something to get the student's physiological condition changed (e.g., opening a window giving a student his prescribed pill)
 - B Teaching the student new competencies (e.g., showing him how to walk away from a fight)
 - C Changing the student's emotional dispositions through a psychotherapeutic technique (e.g., letting a student talk through his anger or trustration about a situation)
 - D. Changing what the student believes is true about the situation he taces.
 - 1 Changing what he believes about what's possible for him to do
 - a Giving him information (e.g., information suggesting that trying to avoid doing what is wanted is futile information that he can get his schedule changed so he can go home with his friend without cutting a class).
 - b Stimulating him to think (e.g., inviting a girl who threatens to beat up another girl to consider whether she really can)
 - 2 Changing what he believes about the costs various ways of behaving

will have in effort and unwanted consequences

- a Giving him information in such ways as
 - Prophesying that the costs of the unwanted behavior won't be high (e.g., telling him that he can obey a rule without sacrificing his self-respect)
 - II Promising to do something to keep the cost of the wanted behavior low (e.g., promising to save a seat at lunch for a student who is supposed to stay behind and clean up)
 - III Cautioning that the "natural" consequences of the unwanted behavior may be unpleasant (e.g., pointing out that fighting usually leads to trouble)
 - iv Threatening to do something to make the consequences of the unwanted behavior unpleasant
 - (1) Threatening in words (e.g., saying, "Much more of that and I may have to keep you after school")
 - (2) Appearing to threaten (e.g., glaring or making a show of force by kicking a wastebasket)
 - (3) Punishing unwanted behavior when it occurs as a sample of what one may continue or repeat (e.g., reprimanding, reproaching, imposing formal punishment)



- b Stimulating the student to think through for himself the possible costs of different ways of behaving (e.g., engaging him in a nondirective or reality-therapy conference or group discussion)
- 3 Changing what he believes about the benefits to be gained by various ways of behaving
 - Giving him information in such ways as
 - Pointing out benefits to be gained (e.g., saying, 'If we can all get settled now, there'll be time afterwards to", providing an example of enjoyment of benefits)
 - ii Promising or bargaining to do something to make the consequences pleasant
 - (1) Promising or bargaining in words (e.g., "If you show you can do a good job on this III let you decide...")
 - (2) Appearing to promise rewards by making clear one's power and one's general disposition to reward (e.g., smiling doing tavors, turning one's back to show trusti.
 - (3) Rewarding wanted behavior when it occursas a sample of what may

- be repeated (e.g., praising, complimenting, paying off with tangible benefits or more responsibility)
- III Cautioning the student that the benefits of an unwanted behavior he's weighing will be low (e.g., telling a student, "It you do cut class, it won't be any fun, because there's nothing to do")
- iv Threatening to do something to prevent the consequences of the unwanted behavior trom being pleasant (e.g., establishing a precedent for the restitution of stolen property, telling a student, 'As long as you keep doing this, I won't tell you...")
- b Stimulating the student to think through and weigh for himself the possible benefits of different ways of behaving (e.g. engaging him in a nondirective or reality-therapy conference or group discussion)
- E. Changing the student's beliefs about right and wrong (e.g., conducting a group discussion of the nature of moral values).
- III Ouasi-procedure taking no action (e.g., waiting for a class to settle down to work by itself)



About the Authors



Edward T. Ladd was professor of education in the Division of Educational Studies. Emory University. Atlanta, Georgia. A champion of student rights, Dr. Ladd was a member of the National Advisory. Council of the American Civil Liberties Union and was an organizer and first president of the Georgia chapter of the ACLU. Dr. Ladd was a noted writer in the field of education and at the time of his death, was seiving as president of the Emory chapter of the American. Association of University Professors.

John C. Walden is head of the Department of Educational Administration and Supervision, Auburn University Auburn Alabama. A specialist in school law. Dr. Walden is the author of Law and the School Principal, a regular column published in the National Hementary Principal.

